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## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## THE SCOTTS COMPANY <br> CONDENSED, CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED) <br> (IN MILLIONS EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

|  |  | THREE M | S | NDED | NINE MONTHS ENDED |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NE 26, 2004 |  | NE 28, $2003$ |  | JUNE 26, 2004 |  | JUNE 28, 2003 |
| Net sales. | \$ | 773.7 | \$ | 710.0 |  | \$1,689.1 |  | \$1,567.0 |
| Cost of sales. |  | 465.6 |  | 428.6 |  | 1,041.0 |  | 985.3 |
| Restructuring and other charges. |  | 0.2 |  | 0.6 |  | 0.9 |  | 5.7 |
| Gross profit |  | 307.9 |  | 280.8 |  | 647.2 |  | 576.0 |
| Gross commission earned from marketing agreement |  | 30.4 |  | 23.6 |  | 45.7 |  | 34.8 |
| Costs associated with marketing agreement. |  | 7.0 |  | 7.1 |  | 21.2 |  | 21.3 |
| Net commission from marketing agreement. |  | 23.4 |  | 16.5 |  | 24.5 |  | 13.5 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advertising. |  | 41.7 |  | 38.1 |  | 89.8 |  | 81.7 |
| Selling, general and administrative. |  | 94.1 |  | 85.0 |  | 279.3 |  | 243.8 |
| Selling, general and administrative - lawn service business. |  | 14.8 |  | 11.8 |  | 42.3 |  | 34.9 |
| Stock-based compensation. |  | 3.7 |  | 1.6 |  | 8.1 |  | 3.1 |
| Restructuring and other charges |  | 2.4 |  | 1.2 |  | 3.1 |  | 5.5 |
| Amortization of intangibles. |  | 2.3 |  | 2.2 |  | 7.1 |  | 6.3 |
| Other income, net |  | (2.5) |  | (3.6) |  | (6.3) |  | (7.3) |
| Income from operations. |  | 174.8 |  | 161.0 |  | 248.3 |  | 221.5 |
| Interest expense... |  | 12.7 |  | 18.2 |  | 38.1 |  | 53.4 |
| Costs related to refinancing. |  | 0.3 |  | -- |  | 44.6 |  | -- |
| Income before income taxes. |  | 161.8 |  | 142.8 |  | 165.6 |  | 168.1 |
| Income taxes. |  | 61.5 |  | 51.6 |  | 62.9 |  | 61.2 |
| Net income. | \$ | 100.3 | \$ | 91.2 |  | \$ 102.7 |  | \$ 106.9 |
| BASIC EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding during the period |  | 32.5 |  | 31.1 |  | 32.2 |  | 30.7 |
| Basic earnings per common share. | \$ | 3.09 | \$ | 2.93 |  | \$ 3.19 |  | \$ 3.48 |
| diluted earnings Per common share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding during the period |  | 33.3 |  | 32.4 |  | 33.2 |  | 32.1 |
| Diluted earnings per common share. | \$ | 3.01 | \$ | 2.81 | \$ | 3.09 |  | \$ 3.33 |

See notes to condensed, consolidated financial statements


|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { JUNE 26, } \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ |  | JUNE 28, 2003 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SEPTEMBER 30, } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents. | \$ | 39.1 | \$ | 56.6 | \$ | 155.9 |
| Accounts receivable, less allowances of \$26.3, \$26.5 and \$20.0, respectively. |  | 548.8 |  | 521.1 |  | 290.5 |
| Inventories, net |  | 335.5 |  | 323.3 |  | 276.1 |
| Current deferred tax asset. |  | 60.6 |  | 77.7 |  | 56.9 |
| Prepaid and other assets. |  | 52.2 |  | 40.3 |  | 33.2 |
| Total current assets. |  | 1,036.2 |  | 1,019.0 |  | 812.6 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$296.5, \$272.4 and \$270.5, respectively. |  | 323.0 |  | 341.4 |  | 338.2 |
| Goodwill. |  | 421.3 |  | 393.4 |  | 406.5 |
| Intangible assets, net |  | 430.1 |  | 425.8 |  | 429.0 |
| Other assets. |  | 41.1 |  | 45.4 |  | 44.0 |
| Total assets. | \$ | 2,251.7 | \$ | 2,225.0 | \$ | 2,030.3 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current portion of debt | \$ | 25.3 | \$ | 60.7 | \$ | 55.4 |
| Accounts payable. |  | 238.0 |  | 225.0 |  | 149.0 |
| Accrued liabilities |  | 273.4 |  | 253.6 |  | 234.3 |
| Accrued taxes. |  | 80.7 |  | 82.5 |  | 9.5 |
| Total current liabilities |  | 617.4 |  | 621.8 |  | 448.2 |
| Long-term debt |  | 612.0 |  | 754.9 |  | 702.2 |
| Other liabilities |  | 162.2 |  | 131.0 |  | 151.7 |
| Total liabilities. |  | 1,391.6 |  | 1,507.7 |  | 1,302.1 |
| Commitments and contingencies (notes 3 and 9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shareholders' equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common Shares, no par value per share, \$.01 stated value per share, 32.6, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31.6, and 32.0 shares issued, respectively <br> Deferred compensation - stock awards. |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ (16.1) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ (10.0) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ (8.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Capital in excess of par value. |  | 434.5 |  | 386.6 |  | 398.4 |
| Retained earnings. |  | 501.3 |  | 401.7 |  | 398.6 |
| Treasury stock. |  | - |  | (1.2) |  | -- |
| Accumulated other comprehensive expense. |  | (59.9) |  | (60.1) |  | (60.8) |
| Total shareholders' equity. |  | 860.1 |  | 717.3 |  | 728.2 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity. | \$ | 2,251.7 | \$ | 2,225.0 | \$ | 2,030.3 |

## 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## NATURE OF OPERATIONS

The Scotts Company and its subsidiaries (collectively "Scotts" or the "Company") are engaged in the manufacture, marketing and sale of lawn and garden care products. The Company's major customers include home improvement centers, mass merchandisers, large hardware chains, independent hardware stores, nurseries, garden centers, food and drug stores, commercial nurseries and greenhouses, and specialty crop growers The Company's products are sold primarily in North America and the European Union. We also operate and franchise the Scotts LawnService(R) business which provides lawn and tree and shrub fertilization, insect control and other related services in the United States.

## ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The Company's condensed, consolidated financial statements are unaudited; however, in the opinion of management, these financial statements are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The condensed, consolidated financial statements include the accounts of The Scotts Company and all wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries. All material intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The Company's criteria for consolidating entities is based on majority ownership (as evidenced by a majority voting interest in the entity) and an objective evaluation and determination of effective management control. Interim results reflect all normal recurring adjustments and are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. The interim financial statements and notes are presented as specified by Regulation $S-X$ of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and accompanying notes in The Scotts Company's fiscal 2003 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## ADVERTISING

The Company advertises its branded products through national and regional media. Advertising costs incurred during the year are expensed to interim periods in relation to revenues. All advertising costs, except for external production costs, are expensed within the fiscal year in which such costs are incurred. External production costs for advertising programs are deferred until the period in which the advertising is first aired.

Scotts LawnService(R) promotes its service offerings primarily through direct response mail campaigns. The external costs associated with these campaigns are deferred and recognized ratably as advertising expense in proportion to revenues over a period not in excess of one year. The costs deferred at June 26, 2004, June 28, 2003 and September 30, 2003 are \$2.2 million, $\$ 2.0$ million and $\$ 1.0$ million, respectively.

## STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION AWARDS

Beginning in fiscal 2003, the Company began expensing prospective grants of employee stock-based compensation awards in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" as amended by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation -- Transition and Disclosure -- an Amendment of SFAS No. 123". Beginning in fiscal 2003, the fair value of new awards is expensed ratably over the vesting period, which has historically been three years, except for grants to members of the Board of Directors, which have a six month vesting period.

On March 31, 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued its Exposure Draft, "Share-Based Payment" which is a proposed amendment to SFAS No. 123. Generally, the approach in the Exposure Draft is similar to the approach described in SFAS No. 123. The Exposure Draft would require all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. Companies would no longer have the option to account for their share-based awards to employees using APB Opinion No. 25 (the intrinsic value model) or SFAS No. 123 (the fair value model). As the Company is accounting for its employee stock-based compensation awards in accordance with SFAS No. 123, the issuance of the proposed amendment is not expected to have a significant effect on the Company's results of operations.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2004, the Company granted 44,000 options and 493,000 stock appreciation rights to officers and other key employees. In the second and third quarters of fiscal 2004, the Company granted 73,500 and 2,750 options, respectively, to members of the
Company's Board of Directors. In the first nine months of fiscal 2003, the
Company granted 447,500 options and 259,000 stock appreciation rights to officers, key employees and members of the Board of Directors. The exercise price for the option awards and the stated price for the stock appreciation right awards were determined by the closing price of the Company's common shares on the date of grant.

The Black-Scholes value of options granted in fiscal 2002 was $\$ 10.7$ million. The Black-Scholes value of all stock-based compensation grants awarded during all of fiscal 2003 and thus far in fiscal 2004 was \$13.1 million and \$14.1 million, respectively. Had compensation expense been recognized for stock-based compensation awards granted in periods prior to fiscal 2003 in accordance with the recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, the Company would have recorded net income and net income per share as follows:
Net income
Stock-based compensation expense included in reported net income, net
of tax of tax
Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of tax

Net income as adjusted
Net income per share:
Basic
Diluted
Net income per share, as adjusted:
Basic
Diluted

| $\begin{gathered} \text { JUNE } 26, \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { JUNE 28, } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: |

FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED

| JUNE 26, 2004 | $\begin{gathered} \text { JUNE 28, } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { JUNE 26, } \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { JUNE 28, } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (\$ MILLIONS, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ 100.3 | \$ | 91.2 |  | 102.7 |  | 106.9 |
| 2.3 |  | 1.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 1.9 |
| (2.8) |  | (2.0) |  | (6.5) |  | (5.0) |
| \$ 99.8 | \$ | 90.2 |  | 101.2 |  | 103.8 |
| \$ 3.09 | \$ | 2.93 | \$ | 3.19 | \$ | 3.48 |
| \$ 3.01 | \$ | 2.81 | \$ | 3.09 | \$ | 3.33 |
| \$ 3.07 | \$ | 2.90 | \$ | 3.14 | \$ | 3.38 |
| \$ 3.00 | \$ | 2.78 | \$ | 3.05 | \$ | 3.23 |

The pro forma amounts shown above are not necessarily representative of the impact on net income/loss in future periods.

Prior to fiscal 2003, the Company accounted for stock options under APB 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" and, as allowable, adopted only the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123.

LONG-LIVED ASSETS
Management assesses the recoverability of property and equipment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable from its future undiscounted cash flows. If it is determined that an impairment has occurred, an impairment loss is recognized for the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its estimated fair value.

Management also assesses the recoverability of goodwill, tradenames and other intangible assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable from its discounted future cash flows. Goodwill and unamortizable intangible assets are reviewed for impairment at least annually during the first fiscal quarter. If it is determined that an impairment of intangible assets has occurred, an impairment loss is recognized for the amount by which the carrying value of the asset exceeds its estimated fair value. No impairment charges were recorded thus far during fiscal 2004 or during fiscal 2003.

## EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per common share is calculated including common stock equivalents pertaining to options, stock appreciation rights and warrants where the exercise price was less than the average market price of the common shares. These common stock equivalents equate to 0.9 million and 1.0 million common shares for the three and nine month periods ended June 26, 2004, respectively, and 1.2 million and 1.4 million common shares for the three and nine month periods ended June 28, 2003.

Options to purchase 0.3 million shares for the nine month period ended June 28, 2003, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share. These options were excluded from the calculation because the exercise price was greater than the average market price of the common shares in the respective periods, and therefore, were anti-dilutive.

## USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying disclosures. Although these estimates are based on management's best knowledge of current events and actions the Company may undertake in the future, actual results ultimately may differ from the estimates.

## RECLASSIFICATIONS

Certain reclassifications have been made in prior periods' financial statements to conform to fiscal 2004 classifications. In particular, a reclassification of trade program liabilities within our International segment was recorded in order to be consistent with the classification used by our North America segment.
2. DETAIL OF INVENTORIES, NET

Inventories, net of provisions for slow moving and obsolete inventory of $\$ 23.6$ million, $\$ 24.3$ million, and $\$ 22.0$ million, respectively, consisted of:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { JUNE } 26 \text {, } \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { JUNE 28, } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SEPTEMBER 30, } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ILLION |  |  |
| INVENTORIES, NET |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Finished goods. | \$ | 261.5 | \$ | 247.9 | \$ | 203.7 |
| Raw materials. |  | 74.0 |  | 75.4 |  | 72.4 |
| Total. | \$ | 335.5 |  | 323.3 | \$ | 276.1 |

## 3. MARKETING AGREEMENT

Effective September 30, 1998, the Company entered into an agreement with Monsanto Company ("Monsanto") for exclusive domestic and international marketing and agency rights to Monsanto's consumer Roundup(R) herbicide products. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company is entitled to receive an annual commission from Monsanto in consideration for the performance of its duties as agent. The annual commission is calculated as a percentage of the actual earnings before interest and income taxes (EBIT), as defined in the agreement, of the Roundup(R) business. Each year's percentage varies in accordance with the terms of the agreement based on the achievement of two earnings thresholds and on commission rates that vary by threshold and program year.

The agreement also requires the Company to make fixed annual payments to Monsanto as a contribution against the overall expenses of the Roundup(R) business. The annual fixed payment is defined as $\$ 20$ million. However, portions of the annual payments for the first three years of the agreement are deferred. No payment was required for the first year (fiscal 1999), a payment of $\$ 5$ million was required for the second year and a payment of $\$ 15$ million was required for the third year so that a total of $\$ 40$ million of the contribution payments were deferred. Beginning in fiscal 2003, the fifth year of the agreement, the annual payments to Monsanto increased to at least $\$ 25$ million, which include per annum interest charges at $8 \%$. The annual payments may be increased above $\$ 25$ million if certain significant earnings targets are exceeded. If all of the deferred contribution amounts are paid prior to 2018, the annual contribution payments revert to $\$ 20$ million. Regardless of whether the deferred contribution amounts are paid, all contribution payments cease entirely in 2018.

The Company is recognizing a charge each year associated with the annual contribution payments equal to the required payment for that year. The Company is not recognizing a charge for the portions of the contribution payments that are deferred until the time those deferred amounts are paid. The Company considers this method of accounting for the contribution payments to be appropriate after consideration of the likely term of the agreement, the Company's ability to terminate the agreement without paying the deferred amounts, and the fact that approximately $\$ 18.6$ million of the deferred amount is never paid, even if the agreement is not terminated prior to 2018, unless significant earnings targets are exceeded.

The express terms of the agreement permit the Company to terminate the agreement only upon Material Breach, Material Fraud or Material Willful Misconduct by Monsanto, as such terms are defined in the agreement, or upon the sale of the Roundup(R) business by Monsanto. In such instances, the agreement permits the Company to avoid payment of any deferred contribution and related per annum charge. The Company's basis for not recording a financial liability to Monsanto for the deferred portions of the annual contribution and per annum charge is based on our assessment and consultations with our legal counsel and the Company's independent accountants. In addition, the Company has obtained a legal opinion from The Bayard Firm, P.A., which concluded, subject to certain qualifications, that if the matter were litigated, a Delaware court would likely conclude that the Company is entitled to terminate the agreement at will, with appropriate prior notice, without incurring significant penalty, and avoid paying the unpaid deferred amounts. We have concluded that, should the Company elect to terminate the agreement at any balance sheet date, it will not incur significant economic consequences as a result of such action.

The Bayard Firm was special Delaware counsel retained during fiscal 2000 solely for the limited purpose of providing a legal opinion in support of the contingent liability treatment of the agreement previously adopted by the Company and has neither generally represented or advised the Company nor participated in the preparation or review of the Company's financial statements or any SEC filings. The terms of such opinion specifically limit the parties who are entitled to rely on it.

The Company's conclusion is not free from challenge and, in fact, would likely be challenged if the Company were to terminate the agreement. If it were determined that, upon termination, the Company must pay any remaining deferred contribution amounts and related per annum charges, the resulting charge to earnings could have a material impact on the Company's results of operations and financial position. At June 26, 2004, contribution payments and related per annum charges of approximately $\$ 48.4$ million had been deferred under the agreement. This amount is considered a contingent obligation and has not been reflected in the financial statements as of and for the period then ended.

Monsanto has disclosed that it is accruing the $\$ 20$ million fixed contribution fee per year beginning in the fourth quarter of Monsanto's fiscal year 1998, plus interest on the deferred portion.

The agreement has a term of seven years for all countries within the European Union (at the option of both parties, the agreement can be renewed for up to 20 years for the European Union countries). For countries outside of the European Union, the agreement continues indefinitely unless terminated by either party. The agreement provides Monsanto with the right to terminate the agreement for an event of default (as defined in the agreement) by the Company or a change in control of Monsanto or the sale of the Roundup(R) business. The agreement provides the Company with the right to terminate the agreement in certain circumstances including an event of default by Monsanto or the sale of the Roundup(R) business. Unless Monsanto terminates the agreement for an event of default by the Company, Monsanto is required to pay a termination fee to the Company that varies by program year. The termination fee is $\$ 150$ million for each of the first five program years, gradually declines to $\$ 100$ million by year ten of the program and then declines to a minimum of $\$ 16$ million if the program continues for years 11 through 20

In consideration for the rights granted to the Company under the agreement for North America, the Company was required to pay a marketing fee of \$32 million to Monsanto. The Company has deferred the expense relating to this amount on the basis that the payment will provide a future benefit through commissions that will be earned under the agreement and is amortizing the balance over ten years, which is the estimated likely term of the agreement.

## 4. RESTRUCTURING AND OTHER CHARGES

## FISCAL 2004 CHARGES

During the three and nine month periods ended June 26, 2004, the Company recorded $\$ 2.6$ million and $\$ 4.0$ million of restructuring and other charges, respectively. Charges related to our North American distribution restructuring were classified as cost of sales in the amount of \$0.9 million for the nine months ended June 26, 2004. Charges related to our International Profit Improvement Plan, the restructuring of our International management team and the restructuring of our Global Information Services group amounted to $\$ 3.1$ million and are classified as selling, general and administrative costs for the nine months ended June 26, 2004.

## FISCAL 2003 CHARGES

During the three and nine month periods ended June 28, 2003, the Company recorded $\$ 1.8$ million and $\$ 11.2$ million of restructuring and other charges, respectively. During the entire fiscal year 2003, the Company recorded $\$ 17.1$ million of
restructuring and other charges.
Costs of $\$ 5.3$ million for warehouse lease buyouts and relocation of inventory associated with exiting certain warehouses in North America, as part of improvements to the North American supply chain, and $\$ 3.8$ million related to a plan to optimize our international supply chain were included in cost of sales. Severance and consulting costs of $\$ 5.3$ million for the continued European integration efforts that began in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2002, and $\$ 2.7$ million of administrative facility exit costs in North America were charged to selling, general and administrative expense. The severance costs incurred in fiscal 2003 were related to the reduction of 78 administrative and production employees.

The following is a rollforward from September 30, 2003 of the cash portion of the restructuring and other charges. The accrued charges are included in accrued liabilities on the Condensed, Consolidated Balance Sheets. We expect spending against these reserves to be completed by the end of fiscal year 2005.

5. LONG-TERM DEBT

|  | JUNE 26,2004 |  | JUNE 28,2003 |  | SEPTEMBER 302003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | LIONS) |  |  |
| Former Credit Agreement: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revolving loans. | \$ | -- | \$ | 37.0 | \$ | -- |
| Term loans. |  | -- |  | 344.2 |  | 326.5 |
| New Credit Agreement: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revolving loans. |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |
| Term loans. |  | 399.5 |  | -- |  | -- |
| Senior Subordinated Notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 5/8\% Notes. |  | -- |  | 392.8 |  | 393.1 |
| 6 5/8\% Notes. |  | 200.0 |  | -- |  | -- |
| Notes due to sellers. |  | 14.6 |  | 21.1 |  | 21.6 |
| Foreign bank borrowings and term loans. |  | 14.7 |  | 10.1 |  | 6.3 |
| Capital lease obligations and other... |  | 8.5 |  | 10.4 |  | 10.1 |
|  |  | 637.3 |  | 815.6 |  | 757.6 |
| Less current portions. |  | 25.3 |  | 60.7 |  | 55.4 |
|  | \$ | 612.0 | \$ | 754.9 | \$ | 702.2 |

Future principle payments on our short and long-term debt are as follows:

| Less than one year | \$ | 25.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One to three years. |  | 18.5 |
| Four to five years. |  | 4.0 |
| After five years. |  | 589.5 |
|  | \$ | 637.3 |

In October 2003, the Company substantially completed a refinancing of the former Credit Agreement ("Credit Agreement") and its \$400 million 8 5/8\% Senior Subordinated Notes ("8 5/8\% Notes") in a series of transactions. On October 8, 2003, the Company issued $\$ 200$ million of $65 / 8 \%$ Senior Subordinated Notes due November 15, 2013 (" $65 / 8 \%$ Notes"). On October 21, 2003, \$386.8 million of the outstanding $85 / 8 \%$ Notes were tendered at $106.05 \%$ per $\$ 1,000$ Note. The Company redeemed the remaining $\$ 13.2$ million of $85 / 8 \%$ Notes, that were not tendered, on the first call date of January 15, 2004 at $104.313 \%$ per $\$ 1,000$ Note plus accrued interest. Finally, on October 22, 2003, the Company consummated a series of transactions which included the repayment of the term loans outstanding under the former Credit Agreement, the termination of the Credit Agreement, the execution of the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement ("New Credit Agreement"), and the borrowing of $\$ 500$ million in the form of
term loans under the New Credit Agreement. The cost recognized in fiscal 2004 on the extinguishment of the former Credit Agreement and retirement of the $85 / 8 \%$ Notes was $\$ 44.3$ million, of which $\$ 19.4$ million related to the write-off of deferred costs, $\$ 24.0$ million related to premiums paid on the redemption of the $85 / 8 \%$ Notes and $\$ 0.9$ million related to transaction fees.

The New Credit Agreement was entered into with a syndicate of commercial banks and institutional lenders. The New Credit Agreement consists of a $\$ 700$ million multi-currency revolving credit commitment and a $\$ 500$ million term loan facility. Financial covenants consist of a minimum interest coverage ratio and a maximum leverage ratio. There also are negative covenants similar to those in the former Credit Agreement. All such covenants are less restrictive than those contained in the former Credit Agreement. Collateral for the borrowings under the New Credit Agreement consists of pledges by the Company and all of its domestic subsidiaries of substantially all of their personal, real and intellectual property assets. The Company and its subsidiaries also pledged a majority of the stock in foreign subsidiaries that borrow under the New Credit Agreement. At June 26, 2004, the Company is in compliance with all applicable covenants. Financing costs approximating $\$ 7.2$ million incurred in conjunction with the New Credit Agreement have been deferred and are being amortized over the term of the New Credit Agreement.

The revolving credit facilities under the New Credit Agreement provide for a $\$ 700$ million commitment, which can be increased to $\$ 750$ million based on the borrowing requirements of the Company, expiring on October 22, 2008. Borrowings may be made in U.S. Dollars and optional currencies including, but not limited to, Euros, British Pounds Sterling, Canadian Dollars and Australian Dollars. The revolving credit facilities provide that up to $\$ 65$ million of the $\$ 700$ million commitment may be used for letters of credit. Interest rate spreads under the New Credit Agreement will be determined by a pricing grid corresponding to a quarterly calculation of the Company's leverage ratio comprised of averaged components for the most recent four quarters.

The $\$ 500$ million term loan facility expires on September 30, 2010. Repayment of the term loan commenced on March 31, 2004 with minimum quarterly principal payments of $\$ 500,000$ through June 30, 2010 followed by a balloon maturity on September 30, 2010. The term loans carry a variable interest rate based on prime or LIBOR at the Company's election (currently LIBOR) plus a spread. The Company entered into interest rate swap agreements with major financial institutions to effectively convert a portion of the variable rate term loans to a fixed rate. The notional amount and the terms of the swap agreements vary. At June 26, 2004, swap agreements with a total notional amount of $\$ 175$ million were in effect. Under the terms of these swap agreements, the Company pays fixed rates ranging from $2.76 \%$ to $3.77 \%$, plus a spread based on the pricing grid contained in the New Credit Agreement, and receives payments based on three-month LIBOR in return.

The 6 5/8\% Notes were issued in accordance with Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act of 1933. The $65 / 8 \%$ Notes were sold at par, pay interest semi-annually on May 15 and November 15, have a ten-year maturity with a five-year no-call provision, and are guaranteed by certain current and future domestic restricted subsidiaries of the Company (see Note 13). Such guarantees are unsecured senior subordinated obligations of the Company. The covenants contained in the $65 / 8 \%$ Notes indenture are less restrictive than those contained in the $85 / 8 \%$ Notes indenture. Financing costs approximating $\$ 4.5$ million incurred with the issuance of the $65 / 8 \%$ Notes have been deferred and are being amortized over the term of the Notes. On May 7, 2004, the Company consummated the exchange of its $65 / 8 \%$ Notes for an equal principal amount of its $65 / 8 \%$ notes which have been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The terms of the $65 / 8 \%$ notes issued in the exchange are substantially identical to the original $65 / 8 \%$ Notes, except that the original $65 / 8 \%$ Notes contain transfer restrictions and registration rights that the $65 / 8 \%$ notes issued in the exchange do not contain.

On June 24, 2004, the Company repaid $\$ 100$ million of the $\$ 499$ million term loans then outstanding under the New Credit Agreement. As a result of the repayment, the amortization of approximately $\$ 0.3$ million of deferred financing costs was accelerated.

## 6. STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The components of other comprehensive income and total comprehensive income for the three and nine month periods ended June 26, 2004 and June 28, 2003, are as follows:

|  | THREE MONTHS ENDED |  |  | NINE MONTHS ENDED |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { JUNE } 26 \text {, } \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ |  | NE 28, $2003$ |  | JNE 26, $2004$ |  | JUNE 28, 2003 |
| Net income. | \$ 100.3 | \$ | 91.2 |  | 102.7 |  | 106.9 |
| Other comprehensive income (expense): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change in valuation of derivative instruments. | 3.4 |  | 0.2 |  | 2.2 |  | 0.5 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments. | 0.2 |  | (2.0) |  | (1.3) |  | (2.6) |
| Comprehensive income. | \$ 103.9 | \$ | 89.4 |  | 103.6 |  | \$ 104.8 |

## 7. RETIREMENT AND RETIREE MEDICAL PLANS COST INFORMATION

The Financial Accounting Standards Board has revised Statement 132,
"Employers' Disclosure about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits"
to require disclosure of cost information in interim reports. The
following summarizes the net periodic benefit cost for the various plans sponsored by the Company (in millions):

|  | THREE MONTHS ENDED |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { JUNE 26, } \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ |  | JUNE 28, 2003 |  |
| Curtailed defined benefit plan. |  |  |  |  |
| International benefit plans. |  | 1.8 |  | 2.0 |
| Retiree medical plan... |  | 0.6 |  | 0.3 |

## 8. AIRCRAFT LEASE

In late January 2004, the Company took final delivery of a used aircraft in a synthetic operating lease transaction. The lease agreement provides that the Company pays taxes, insurance and maintenance on the aircraft. The lease term expires in August 2008, but provides for a purchase option and two one-year renewal options at a fair market rental value, as defined in the lease agreement. The Company also has a maximum contingent obligation approximating $\$ 9.3$ million based on the provisions of a residual value guarantee.

## 9. CONTINGENCIES

Management continually evaluates the Company's contingencies, including various lawsuits and claims which arise in the normal course of business, product and general liabilities, worker's compensation, property losses and other fiduciary liabilities for which the Company is self-insured or retains a high exposure limit. Insurance reserves are established within an actuarially determined range. In the opinion of management, its assessment of contingencies is reasonable and related reserves, in the aggregate, are adequate; however, there can be no assurance that future quarterly or annual operating results will not be materially affected by final resolution of these matters. The following matters are the more significant of the Company's identified contingencies.

## ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

In June 1997, the Ohio EPA initiated an enforcement action against us with respect to alleged surface water violations and inadequate treatment capabilities at our Marysville, Ohio facility and seeking corrective action under the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. The action related to several discontinued on-site disposal areas which dated back to the early operations of the Marysville facility that we had already been assessing and, in some cases, remediating, on a voluntary basis. On December 3, 2001, an agreed judicial Consent Order was submitted to the Union County Common Pleas Court and was entered by the court on January 25, 2002. Pursuant to the Consent Order, we paid a $\$ 275,000$ fine and must satisfactorily remediate the Marysville site. We have continued our remediation activities with the knowledge and oversight of the Ohio EPA.

In addition to the dispute with the Ohio EPA, we are negotiating with the Philadelphia District of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers regarding the terms of site remediation and the resolution of the Corps' civil penalty demand in connection with our prior peat harvesting operations at our Lafayette, New Jersey facility. We are also addressing remediation concerns raised by the Environment Agency of the United Kingdom with respect to emissions to air and groundwater at our Bramford (Suffolk), United Kingdom facility.

At June 26, 2004, $\$ 6.9$ million was accrued for the environmental site remediation and regulatory matters described herein. Most
of the costs accrued as of the end of the current fiscal quarter are expected to be paid through fiscal 2007; however, payments could be made for a period thereafter.

We believe that the amounts accrued as of the end of the current fiscal quarter are adequate to cover our known environmental exposures based on current facts and estimates of likely outcome. However, the adequacy of these accruals is based on several significant assumptions:

- that we have identified all of the significant sites that must be remediated;
- that there are no significant conditions of potential contamination that are unknown to us; and
- that with respect to the agreed judicial Consent Order in Ohio, that potentially contaminated soil can be remediated in place rather than having to be removed and only specific stream segments will require remediation as opposed to the entire stream.

If there is a significant change in the facts and circumstances surrounding these assumptions, it could have a material impact on the ultimate outcome of these matters and our results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

During the first nine months of fiscal 2004, we have expended approximately $\$ 2.4$ million related to environmental matters, including a $\$ 1.1$ million increase in our reserves recognized during the third quarter. We incurred approximately $\$ 1.5$ million in environmental expenditures for all of fiscal 2003.

## LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

As noted in the discussion above under "Environmental Matters," we are involved in several pending environmental matters. We believe that our assessment of contingencies is reasonable and that related reserves, in the aggregate, are adequate; however, there can be no assurance that the final resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse affect on our results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

Pending material legal proceedings are as follows:
AGREVO ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, INC.
On June 3, 1999, AgrEvo Environmental Health, Inc. ("AgrEvo") (which subsequently changed its name to Aventis Environmental Health Science USA LP) filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York (the "New York Action"), against The Scotts Company, a subsidiary of The Scotts Company and Monsanto seeking damages and injunctive relief for alleged antitrust violations and breach of contract by The Scotts Company and its subsidiary and antitrust violations and tortious interference with contract by Monsanto. The Scotts Company purchased a consumer herbicide business from AgrEvo in May 1998. AgrEvo claims in the suit that The Scotts Company's subsequent agreement to become Monsanto's exclusive sales and marketing agent for Monsanto's consumer Roundup(R) business violated the federal antitrust laws. AgrEvo contends that Monsanto attempted to or did monopolize the market for non-selective herbicides and conspired with The Scotts Company to eliminate the herbicide The Scotts Company previously purchased from AgrEvo, which competed with Monsanto's Roundup(R). AgrEvo also contends that The Scotts Company's execution of various agreements with Monsanto, including the Roundup(R) marketing agreement, as well as The Scotts Company's subsequent actions, violated agreements between AgrEvo and The Scotts Company.

AgrEvo is requesting unspecified damages as well as affirmative injunctive relief, and seeking to have the court invalidate the Roundup(R) marketing agreement as violative of the federal antitrust laws. Under the indemnification provisions of the Roundup(R) marketing agreement, Monsanto and The Scotts Company each have requested that the other indemnify against any losses arising from this lawsuit.

On June 29, 1999, AgrEvo also filed a complaint in the Superior Court of the State of Delaware against two of The Scotts Company's subsidiaries seeking damages for alleged breach of contract. AgrEvo alleges that, under the contracts by which a subsidiary of The Scotts Company purchased a herbicide business from AgrEvo in May 1998, two of The Scotts Company's subsidiaries have failed to pay AgrEvo approximately $\$ 0.6$ million. AgrEvo is requesting damages in this amount, as well as pre- and post-judgment interest and attorneys' fees and costs. The Scotts Company's subsidiaries have moved to dismiss or stay this action. On January 31, 2000, the Delaware court stayed AgrEvo's action pending the resolution of a motion to amend the New York Action, and the resolution of the New York Action.

On January 10, 2003, The Scotts Company filed a supplemental counterclaim against AgrEvo for breach of contract. The Scotts Company alleges that AgrEvo owes The Scotts Company for amounts that The Scotts Company overpaid to AgrEvo. The Scotts Company's counterclaim is now part of the underlying litigation. The parties have filed summary judgment and other dispositive motions. A trial date has been set for February 7, 2005.

The Scotts Company believes that AgrEvo's claims in these matters are without merit and is vigorously defending against them. If the above actions are determined adversely to The Scotts Company, the result could have a material adverse effect on The Scotts Company's results of operations, financial position and cash flows. Any potential exposure that The Scotts Company may face cannot be reasonably estimated. Therefore, no accrual has been established related to these matters.

CENTRAL GARDEN \& PET COMPANY
THE SCOTTS COMPANY V. CENTRAL GARDEN, SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
On June 30, 2000, The Scotts Company filed suit against Central Garden \& Pet Company ("Central Garden") in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Ohio (the "Ohio Action") to recover approximately $\$ 24$ million in accounts receivable and additional damages for other breaches of duty.

Central Garden filed counterclaims including allegations that The Scotts Company and Central Garden had entered into an oral agreement in April 1998 whereby The Scotts Company would allegedly share with Central Garden the benefits and liabilities of any future business integration between The Scotts Company and Monsanto. The court dismissed a number of Central Garden's counterclaims as well as The Scotts Company's claims that Central Garden breached other duties owed to The Scotts Company. On April 22, 2002, a jury returned a verdict in favor of The Scotts Company of $\$ 22.5$ million and for Central Garden on its remaining counterclaims in an amount of approximately $\$ 12.1$ million. Various post-trial motions were filed. As a result of those motions, the trial court has reduced Central Garden's verdict by $\$ 750,000$, denied Central Garden's motion for a new trial on two of its counterclaims and granted the parties pre-judgment interest on their respective verdicts. On September 22, 2003, the court entered a final judgment, which provided for a net award to The Scotts Company of approximately $\$ 14$ million, together with interest at $2.31 \%$ through the date of payment. Central Garden has appealed and The Scotts Company has cross-appealed from that final judgment.

Two counterclaims that the court permitted Central Garden to add on the eve of trial were subsequently settled.

CENTRAL GARDEN V. THE SCOTTS COMPANY \& PHARMACIA, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

On July 7, 2000, Central Garden filed suit against The Scotts Company and Pharmacia in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California (San Francisco Division) alleging various claims, including breach of contract and violations of federal antitrust laws, and seeking an unspecified amount of damages and injunctive relief. On April 15, 2002, The Scotts Company and Central Garden each filed summary judgment motions in this action. On June 26, 2002, the court granted summary judgment in favor of The Scotts Company and dismissed all of Central Garden's then remaining claims. That judgment has been affirmed by the United States Court of Appeals.

Although The Scotts Company has prevailed consistently and extensively in the litigation with Central Garden, some of the decisions in The Scotts Company's favor are subject to appeal and possible further proceedings. If, upon appeal or otherwise, the above actions are determined adversely to The Scotts Company, the result could have a material adverse affect on The Scotts Company's results of operations, financial position and cash flows. The Scotts Company believes that it will continue to prevail in the Central Garden matters and that any potential exposure that The Scotts Company may face cannot be reasonably estimated. Therefore, no accrual has been established related to the claims brought against The Scotts Company by Central Garden, except for amounts ordered paid to Central Garden in the Ohio Action. The Scotts Company believes it has adequate reserves recorded for the amounts it may ultimately be required to pay.
U.S. HORTICULTURAL SUPPLY, INC. (F/K/A E.C. GEIGER, INC.)

On February 7, 2003, U.S. Horticultural Supply ("Geiger") filed suit against The Scotts Company in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Geiger alleged claims of breach of contract, promissory estoppel, and a violation of federal antitrust laws, and seeks an unspecified amount of damages. Geiger's promissory estoppel claims have been dismissed. The
parties have commenced discovery on the antitrust and breach of contract claims. No trial date has been set.

On February 2, 2004, Geiger filed for bankruptcy protection pursuant to chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code. Geiger has filed an adversary proceeding as part of the bankruptcy alleging that The Scotts Company interfered with an agreement between Geiger and the purchaser of its operating assets and seeks damages in an unspecified amount.

The Scotts Company believes that all of Geiger's claims are without merit and intends to vigorously defend against them. If the above action is determined adversely to The Scotts Company, the result could have a material adverse effect on The Scotts Company's results of operations, financial position and cash flows. Any potential exposure that The Scotts Company may face cannot be reasonably estimated. Therefore, no accrual has been established related to this matter.

OTHER
The Scotts Company has been named a defendant in a number of cases alleging injuries that the lawsuits claim resulted from exposure to asbestos-containing products, apparently based on The Scotts Company's historic use of vermiculite in certain of its products. The complaints in these cases are not specific about the plaintiffs' contacts with The Scotts Company or its products. The Scotts Company in each case is one of numerous defendants and none of the claims seeks damages from The Scotts Company alone. The Scotts Company is vigorously defending the cases and does not believe they will have a material adverse effect on The Scotts Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flows. It is not currently possible to reasonably estimate a probable loss, if any, associated with the cases and, accordingly, no accrual or reserves have been recorded in The Scotts Company's consolidated financial statements. There can be no assurance that these cases, whether as a result of adverse outcomes or as a result of significant defense costs, will not have a material adverse effect on The Scotts Company, its financial condition or its results of operations. The Scotts Company is reviewing agreements and policies that may provide insurance coverage or indemnity as to these claims and is pursuing coverage under some of these agreements, although there can be no assurance of the results of these efforts.

We are involved in other lawsuits and claims which arise in the normal course of our business. In our opinion, these claims individually and in the aggregate are not expected to result in a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position or cash flows.
10. NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In January 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an Interpretation of ARB No. 51" (FIN 46). In December 2003, the FASB modified FIN 46 to make certain technical corrections and address certain implementation issues that had arisen. FIN 46 provides a new framework for identifying variable interest entities (VIEs) and determining when a company should include the assets, liabilities, noncontrolling interests, and results of activities of a VIE in its consolidated financial statements.

In general, a VIE is a corporation, partnership, limited liability company, trust, or any other legal structure used to conduct activities or hold assets that either (1) has an insufficient amount of equity to carry out its principal activities without additional subordinated financial support, (2) has a group of equity owners that are unable to make significant decisions about its activities, or (3) has a group of equity owners that do not have the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive returns generated by its operations.

FIN 46 requires a VIE to be consolidated if a party with an ownership, contractual or other financial interest in the VIE (a variable interest holder) is obligated to absorb a majority of the risk of loss from the VIE's activities, is entitled to receive a majority of the VIE's residual returns (if no party absorbs a majority of the VIE's losses), or both. A variable interest holder that consolidates the VIE is called the primary beneficiary. Upon consolidation, the primary beneficiary generally must initially record all of the VIE's assets, liabilities and noncontrolling interests at fair value and subsequently account for the VIE as if it were consolidated based on majority voting interest. FIN 46 also requires disclosures about VIEs that the variable interest holder is not required to consolidate but in which it has a significant variable interest.

The Company's Scotts Lawn Service business sells new franchise territories, primarily in small to mid-size markets, under arrangements where approximately one-third of the franchise fee is paid in cash with the balance due under a promissory note.

The Company believes that it may be the primary beneficiary for certain of its franchisees initially, but ceases to be the primary beneficiary as the franchisees develop their businesses and the promissory notes are repaid. At June 26, 2004, the Company had approximately $\$ 3$ million in notes receivable from franchisees. The effect of consolidating the entities where the Company may be the primary beneficiary for a limited period of time is not material to either the consolidated statement of operations or the consolidated balance sheet.

## 11. MEDICARE PRESCRIPTION DRUG, IMPROVEMENT AND MODERNIZATION ACT

As disclosed in Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended September 30, 2003, the Company provides comprehensive major medical benefits to certain of its retired associates and their dependents. These benefits include prescription drug coverage. On December 8, 2003, the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act (the "Act") became law. The Act provides for a federal subsidy to sponsors of retiree health care benefit plans that provide a benefit that is at least actuarially equivalent to the benefit established by the Act.

On May 19, 2004, the FASB issued Staff Position No. 106-2, "Accounting and Disclosure Requirements Related to the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003" (the "FSP"). The FSP provides guidance on accounting for the effects of the Act. The Company will be required to apply the provisions of the FSP in the fourth quarter of this fiscal year. Any reduction in the accumulated benefit obligation under the Company's plan will be accounted for as an actuarial gain.

The Company has evaluated the Act and believes it will be eligible for the federal subsidy. The final regulations necessary to affirm the Company's preliminary conclusions and to reasonably estimate the amount of the subsidy have not yet been issued.

## 12. SEGMENT INFORMATION

For fiscal 2004, the Company is divided into three reportable segments North America, Scotts LawnService(R) and International. The North America segment primarily consists of the Lawns, Gardening Products, Ortho(R), Canada and North American Professional business groups. These segments differ from those used in the prior year due to the absorption of the Global Professional segment into the North America and International segments based on geography. This new division of reportable segments is consistent with how the segments report to and are managed by senior management of the Company. The prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform with the fiscal 2004 segments.

The North America segment manufactures, markets and sells dry, granular slow-release lawn fertilizers, combination lawn fertilizer and control products, grass seed, spreaders, water-soluble and controlled-release garden and indoor plant foods, plant care products, potting soils, pottery, barks, mulches and other growing media products, pesticide products and a full line of horticulture products. Products are marketed to mass merchandisers, home improvement centers, large hardware chains, nurseries and gardens centers and specialty crop growers in the United States, Canada, Latin America and South America.

The Scotts LawnService(R) segment provides lawn fertilization, insect control and other related services such as core aeration primarily to residential consumers through company-owned branches and franchises. In most Company markets, Scotts LawnService(R) also offers tree and shrub fertilization, disease and insect control treatments and, in our larger branches, an exterior barrier pest control service.

The International segment provides products similar to those described above for the North America segment to consumers outside of the United States, Canada, Latin America and South America.

The following table presents segment financial information in accordance with SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information". Pursuant to that statement, the presentation of the segment financial information is consistent with the basis used by management (i.e., certain costs not allocated to business segments for internal management reporting purposes are not allocated for purposes of this presentation).

|  | SCOTTS |  | OTHER/ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NORTH AMERICA | LAWNSERVICE(R) | INTERNATIONAL | CORPORATE | TOTAL |
|  | (IN MILLIONS, EX | OPERATING PERC | GES) |  |



Operating income reported for Scotts' three reportable segments represents earnings before amortization of intangible assets, interest and taxes, since this is the measure of profitability used by management.
Accordingly, the corporate operating loss for the three and nine month periods ended June 26, 2004 and June 28, 2003 includes amortization of certain intangible assets, unallocated corporate general and
administrative expenses, North America restructuring charges and certain
"other" income (expense) items not allocated to the reportable segments.
Goodwill reported as of June 26,2004 is $\$ 421.3$ million, an increase of $\$ 14.8$ million from $\$ 406.5$ million at September 30, 2003. The increases in both the North America and Scotts LawnService(R) reported segments were due to the purchasing of remaining minority interest shares in two majority-owned consolidated entities and the reclassification of an intangible asset to finalize a prior year acquisition, increasing goodwill by $\$ 10.3$ million. The International segment was impacted by changes in foreign exchange rates, off-set by the reclassification of a prior purchase accounting reserve against goodwill, resulting in a net increase of $\$ 4.5$ million to goodwill for the nine months ended June 26, 2004.

Total assets reported for Scotts' reportable segments include the intangible assets for the acquired businesses within those segments. Corporate assets primarily include deferred financing and debt issuance costs, corporate intangible assets and deferred tax assets.

## 13. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On August 6, 2004, the Company signed a definitive agreement to acquire Smith \& Hawken, Ltd., a specialty lawn and garden retailer, for approximately $\$ 72$ million, including the assumption of $\$ 14$ million of existing debt. The transaction, which is scheduled to close October 1, 2004 will be funded utilizing the Company's existing credit facility. Smith \& Hawken, which is privately owned, reported revenue of
approximately $\$ 138.5$ million for the year ended January 31, 2004.
14. FINANCIAL INFORMATION FOR SUBSIDIARY GUARANTORS AND NON-GUARANTORS

The 6 5/8\% Senior Subordinated Notes are general obligations of The Scotts Company and are guaranteed by all of the existing wholly-owned, domestic subsidiaries and all future wholly-owned, significant (as defined in Regulation S-X of the Securities and Exchange Commission) domestic subsidiaries of The Scotts Company. These subsidiary guarantors jointly and severally guarantee The Scotts Company's obligations under the Notes. The guarantees represent full and unconditional general obligations of each subsidiary that are subordinated in right of payment to all existing and future senior debt of that subsidiary but are senior in right of payment to any future junior subordinated debt of that subsidiary.

The following unaudited information presents consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine month periods ended June 26, 2004 and June 28, 2003, Statements of Cash Flows for the nine month periods ended June 26, 2004 and June 28, 2003 and Balance Sheets as of June 26, 2004, June 28, 2003 and September 30, 2003. Separate unaudited financial statements of the individual guarantor subsidiaries have not been provided because management does not believe they would be meaningful to investors.

|  | PARENT |  | SUBSIDIARY GUARANTORS |  | NON GUARANTORS |  | ELIMINATIONS | CONSOLIDATED |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net sales. | \$ | 365.4 | \$ | 251.1 | \$ | 157.2 | \$ | \$ | 773.7 |
| Cost of sales. |  | 242.6 |  | 126.6 |  | 96.4 |  |  | 465.6 |
| Restructuring and other charges. |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |  | 0.2 |
| Gross profit. |  | 122.8 |  | 124.5 |  | 60.6 | - |  | 307.9 |
| Gross commission earned from marketing agreement. |  | 29.3 |  |  |  | 1.1 |  |  | 30.4 |
| Costs associated with marketing agreement................ |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 |
| Net commission from marketing agreement................ |  | 22.3 |  | - |  | 1.1 | - |  | 23.4 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advertising...... |  | 27.5 |  | 2.7 |  | 11.5 |  |  | 41.7 |
| Selling, general and administrative |  | 74.3 |  | 12.0 |  | 26.3 |  |  | 112.6 |
| Restructuring and other charges.. |  | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.4 |
| Amortization of intangibles..... |  | 0.1 |  | 1.0 |  | 1.2 |  |  | 2.3 |
| Equity income in subsidiaries. |  | (77.5) |  |  |  |  | 77.5 |  | - |
| Intracompany allocations. |  | (8.8) |  | 1.8 |  | 7.0 |  |  | - |
| Other income, net........ |  | (0.5) |  | (1.1) |  | (0.9) |  |  | (2.5) |
| Income (loss) from operations. |  | 127.6 |  | 108.1 |  | 16.6 | (77.5) |  | 174.8 |
| Interest expense............... |  | 13.6 |  | (4.3) |  | 3.4 |  |  | 12.7 |
| Costs related to refinancing. |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes. |  | 113.7 |  | 112.4 |  | 13.2 | (77.5) |  | 161.8 |
| Income tax expense |  | 13.4 |  | 43.0 |  | 5.1 |  |  | 61.5 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 100.3 | \$ | 69.4 | \$ | 8.1 | \$ (77.5) | \$ | 100.3 |


|  | PARENT |  | SUBSIDIARY GUARANTORS |  | NON GUARANTORS |  | ELIMINATIONS | CONSOLIDATED |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net sales. | \$ | 844.7 | \$ | 448.0 | \$ | 396.4 | \$ |  | 1,689.1 |
| Cost of sales. |  | 537.0 |  | 262.6 |  | 241.4 |  |  | 1,041.0 |
| Restructuring and other charges. |  | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.5 |  |  | 0.9 |
| Gross profit. |  | 307.3 |  | 185.4 |  | 154.5 | - |  | 647.2 |
| Gross commission earned from marketing agreement |  | 42.6 |  |  |  | 3.1 |  |  | 45.7 |
| Costs associated with marketing agreement....... |  | 21.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.2 |
| Net commission from marketing agreement. |  | 21.4 |  | - |  | 3.1 | - |  | 24.5 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advertising. |  | 60.1 |  | 4.9 |  | 24.8 |  |  | 89.8 |
| Selling, general and administrative |  | 211.5 |  | 36.4 |  | 81.8 |  |  | 329.7 |
| Restructuring and other charges. |  | 2.4 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.6 |  |  | 3.1 |
| Amortization of intangibles. |  | 0.3 |  | 3.2 |  | 3.6 |  |  | 7.1 |
| Equity income in subsidiaries. |  | (103.2) |  |  |  |  | 103.2 |  | - |
| Intracompany allocations..... |  | (21.2) |  | 3.8 |  | 17.4 |  |  | - |
| Other income, net. |  | (1.1) |  | (2.4) |  | (2.8) |  |  | (6.3) |
| Income (loss) from operations. |  | 179.9 |  | 139.4 |  | 32.2 | (103.2) |  | 248.3 |
| Interest expense. |  | 33.7 |  | (4.3) |  | 8.7 |  |  | 38.1 |
| Costs related to refinancing. |  | 44.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44.6 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes. |  | 101.6 |  | 143.7 |  | 23.5 | (103.2) |  | 165.6 |
| Income tax expense (benefit).... |  | (1.1) |  | 55.0 |  | 9.0 |  |  | 62.9 |
| Net income (loss). | \$ | 102.7 | \$ | 88.7 | \$ | 14.5 | \$(103.2) | \$ | 102.7 |


|  | PARENT |  | SUBSIDIARY GUARANTORS |  | NON GUARANTORS |  | ELIMINATIONS | CONSOLIDATED |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 102.7 | \$ | 88.7 | \$ | 14.5 | \$(103.2) | \$ | 102.7 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| provided by (used in) operating activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Costs related to refinancing. |  | 44.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44.6 |
| Stock-based compensation expense. |  | 8.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.1 |
| Depreciation. |  | 19.8 |  | 8.6 |  | 5.4 |  |  | 33.8 |
| Amortization. |  | 2.7 |  | 3.6 |  | 3.3 |  |  | 9.6 |
| Deferred taxes. |  | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.1 |
| Equity (income) loss in subsidiaries. |  | (103.2) |  |  |  |  | 103.2 |  | - |
| Net change in certain components of working capital..... (123.2) (27.0) 5.8 (144.4) Net changes in other assets and liabilities and other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities.... |  | (64.9) |  | 73.5 |  | 35.4 | - |  | 44.0 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment in property, plant and equipment............... |  | (3.8) |  | (6.5) |  | (6.1) |  |  | (16.4) |
| Investment in acquired businesses, net of cash acquired. |  | (0.4) |  | (1.9) |  | (3.2) |  |  | (5.5) |
| Payments on seller notes........................... |  | (2.0) |  | (7.7) |  |  |  |  | (9.7) |
| Net cash used in investing activities..................... |  | (6.2) |  | (16.1) |  | (9.3) | - |  | (31.6) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net borrowings under revolving and bank lines of credit. |  |  |  |  |  | 6.2 |  |  | 6.2 |
| Gross borrowings under term loans. |  | 500.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 500.0 |
| Gross repayments under term loans. |  | (427.0) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (427.0) |
| Issuance of $65 / 8 \%$ Notes....... |  | 200.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200.0 |
| Redemption of $85 / 8 \%$ Notes. |  | (418.0) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (418.0) |
| Financing fees......... |  | (11.7) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (11.7) |
| Cash received from the exercise of stock options. |  | 19.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19.8 |
| Intracompany financing. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 92.0 |  | (57.7) |  | (34.3) |  |  | - |
| Net cash provided by financing activities. |  | (44.9) |  | (57.7) |  | (28.1) | - |  | (130.7) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash.. |  |  |  |  |  | 1.5 |  |  | 1.5 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash. |  | (116.0) |  | (0.3) |  | (0.5) | - |  | (116.8) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period ......... |  | 132.1 |  | 1.2 |  | 22.6 |  |  | 155.9 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period. | \$ | 16.1 | \$ | 0.9 | \$ | 22.1 | \$ | \$ | 39.1 |

## THE SCOTTS COMPANY

BALANCE SHEET
AS OF JUNE 26, 2004 (IN MILLIONS)
(UNAUDITED)

|  | PARENT |  | SUBSIDIARY GUARANTORS |  | NON GUARANTORS |  | ELIMINATIONS | CONSOLIDATED |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents. | \$ | 16.1 | \$ | 0.9 | \$ | 22.1 | \$ | \$ | 39.1 |
| Accounts receivable, net. |  | 182.3 |  | 183.6 |  | 182.9 |  |  | 548.8 |
| Inventories, net... |  | 186.3 |  | 50.8 |  | 98.4 |  |  | 335.5 |
| Current deferred tax asset |  | 58.8 |  | 0.4 |  | 1.4 |  |  | 60.6 |
| Prepaid and other assets. |  | 28.8 |  | 6.0 |  | 17.4 |  |  | 52.2 |
| Total current assets. |  | 472.3 |  | 241.7 |  | 322.2 | - |  | 1,036.2 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net |  | 191.6 |  | 88.2 |  | 43.2 |  |  | 323.0 |
| Goodwill. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 21.5 |  | 274.2 |  | 125.6 |  |  | 421.3 |
| Intangible assets, net |  | 4.9 |  | 279.6 |  | 145.6 |  |  | 430.1 |
| Other assets. |  | 41.4 |  | 1.4 |  | (1.7) |  |  | 41.1 |
| Investment in affiliates. |  | 1,146.3 |  |  |  |  | (1,146.3) |  | - |
| Intracompany assets. |  | 65.5 |  | 301.4 |  |  | (366.9) |  | - |
| Total assets. | \$ | 1,943.5 | \$ | 1,186.5 | \$ | 634.9 | \$(1,513.2) | \$ | 2,251.7 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Current liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current portion of debt................... | \$ | 3.0 | \$ | 7.4 | \$ | 14.9 | \$ | \$ | 25.3 |
| Accounts payable...... |  | 120.9 |  | 33.5 |  | 83.6 |  |  | 238.0 |
| Accrued liabilities. |  | 128.5 |  | 38.1 |  | 106.8 |  |  | 273.4 |
| Accrued taxes. |  | 79.5 |  | 2.5 |  | (1.3) |  |  | 80.7 |
| Total current liabilities. |  | 331.9 |  | 81.5 |  | 204.0 |  |  | 617.4 |
| Long-term debt... |  | 607.6 |  | 4.2 |  | 0.2 |  |  | 612.0 |
| Other liabilities. |  | 143.9 |  | 0.7 |  | 17.6 |  |  | 162.2 |
| Intracompany liabilities. |  |  |  |  |  | 366.9 | (366.9) |  | - |
| Total liabilities. |  | 1,083.4 |  | 86.4 |  | 588.7 | (366.9) |  | 1,391.6 |
| Shareholders' equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment from parent................................... |  |  |  | 498.5 |  | 55.6 | (554.1) |  | - |
| Common shares, no par value per share, $\$ .01$ stated value per share. |  | $0.3$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3 |
| Deferred compensation - stock awards................... |  | (16.1) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (16.1) |
| Capital in excess of par value. |  | 434.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 434.5 |
| Retained earnings............... |  | 501.3 |  | 603.4 |  | 17.4 | (620.8) |  | 501.3 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)........ |  | (59.9) |  | (1.8) |  | (26.8) | 28.6 |  | (59.9) |
| Total shareholders' equity............................. |  | 860.1 |  | 1,100.1 |  | 46.2 | (1,146.3) |  | 860.1 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity.......... | \$ | 1,943.5 | \$ | 1,186.5 | \$ | 634.9 | \$(1,513.2) | \$ | $2,251.7$ |


|  | PARENT |  | SUBSIDIARY GUARANTORS |  | NON GUARANTORS |  | ELIMINATIONS | CONSOLIDATED |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net sales. | \$ | 344.6 | \$ | 226.8 | \$ | 138.6 | \$ | \$ | 710.0 |
| Cost of sales. |  | 217.7 |  | 122.6 |  | 88.3 |  |  | 428.6 |
| Restructuring and other charges. |  | 0.3 |  |  |  | 0.3 |  |  | 0.6 |
| Gross profit. |  | 126.6 |  | 104.2 |  | 50.0 | - |  | 280.8 |
| Gross commission earned from marketing agreement |  | 22.6 |  |  |  | 1.0 |  |  | 23.6 |
| Costs associated with marketing agreement. |  | 7.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |
| Net commission from marketing agreement. |  | 15.5 |  | - |  | 1.0 | - |  | 16.5 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advertising. |  | 27.5 |  | 3.0 |  | 7.6 |  |  | 38.1 |
| Selling, general and administrative. |  | 62.7 |  | 11.7 |  | 24.0 |  |  | 98.4 |
| Restructuring and other charges. |  | 0.9 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.2 |  |  | 1.2 |
| Amortization of intangibles. |  | 0.1 |  | 1.0 |  | 1.1 |  |  | 2.2 |
| Equity income in subsidiaries. |  | (65.2) |  |  |  |  | 65.2 |  | - |
| Intracompany allocations. |  | (3.5) |  | 1.0 |  | 2.5 |  |  | - |
| Other income, net. |  | (1.0) |  | (1.5) |  | (1.1) |  |  | (3.6) |
| Income (loss) from operations. |  | 120.6 |  | 88.9 |  | 16.7 | (65.2) |  | 161.0 |
| Interest expense.... |  | 17.8 |  | (3.9) |  | 4.3 |  |  | 18.2 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes. |  | 102.8 |  | 92.8 |  | 12.4 | (65.2) |  | 142.8 |
| Income tax expense....... |  | 11.6 |  | 35.2 |  | 4.8 |  |  | 51.6 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 91.2 | \$ | 57.6 | \$ | 7.6 | \$ (65.2) | \$ | 91.2 |



|  | PARENT |  | SUBSIDIARY GUARANTORS |  | NON GUARANTORS |  | ELIMINATIONS |  | CONSOLIDATED |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income (loss). | \$ | 106.9 | \$ | 79.2 | \$ | 11.2 | \$ | (90.4) | \$ | 106.9 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| provided by (used in) operating activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock-based compensation expense. |  | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.1 |
| Depreciation. |  | 18.1 |  | 7.4 |  | 3.2 |  |  |  | 28.7 |
| Amortization. |  | 2.8 |  | 2.9 |  | 3.1 |  |  |  | 8.8 |
| Deferred taxes |  | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.0 |
| Equity (income) loss in non-guarantors |  | (90.4) |  |  |  |  |  | 90.4 |  | - |
| Net change in certain components of working capital.. |  | (62.3) |  | (43.7) |  | (15.1) |  |  |  | (121.1) |
| Net changes in other assets and liabilities and other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities. |  | (6.0) |  | 69.0 |  | (20.9) |  | - |  | 42.1 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment in property, plant and equipment. |  | (17.6) |  | (17.7) |  | (5.5) |  |  |  | (40.8) |
| Investment in acquired businesses, net of cash acquired.. |  | (1.0) |  | (10.1) |  |  |  |  |  | (11.1) |
| Payments on seller notes. |  | (7.7) |  | (9.3) |  | (14.6) |  |  |  | (31.6) |
| Net cash used in investing activities |  | (26.3) |  | (37.1) |  | (20.1) |  | - |  | (83.5) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net borrowings under revolving and bank lines of credit.. |  |  |  |  |  | 23.7 |  |  |  | 23.7 |
| Gross repayments under term loans. |  | (17.5) |  |  |  | (25.7) |  |  |  | (43.2) |
| Financing fees. |  | (0.5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.5) |
| Cash received from the exercise of stock options |  | 15.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.5 |
| Intracompany financing. |  | 18.9 |  | (32.7) |  | 13.8 |  |  |  | - |
| Net cash provided by financing activities. |  | 16.4 |  | (32.7) |  | 11.8 |  | - |  | (4.5) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash. |  |  |  |  |  | 2.8 |  |  |  | 2.8 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash. |  | (15.9) |  | (0.8) |  | (26.4) |  | - |  | (43.1) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period. |  | 54.7 |  | 2.0 |  | 43.0 |  |  |  | 99.7 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period. | \$ | 38.8 | \$ | 1.2 | \$ | 16.6 | \$ | - | \$ | 56.6 |

## THE SCOTTS COMPANY

BALANCE SHEET
AS OF JUNE 28, 2003 (IN MILLIONS)
(UNAUDITED)

|  | PARENT |  | SUBSIDIARY GUARANTORS |  | NON GUARANTORS |  | ELIMINATIONS | CONSOLIDATED |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents. | \$ | 38.8 |  | \$ 1.2 | \$ | 16.6 | \$ | \$ | 56.6 |
| Accounts receivable, net. |  | 194.7 |  | 152.3 |  | 174.1 |  |  | 521.1 |
| Inventories, net.. |  | 189.6 |  | 47.8 |  | 85.9 |  |  | 323.3 |
| Current deferred tax asset |  | 77.4 |  | 0.4 |  | (0.1) |  |  | 77.7 |
| Prepaid and other assets. |  | 21.6 |  | 5.2 |  | 13.5 |  |  | 40.3 |
| Total current assets. |  | 522.1 |  | 206.9 |  | 290.0 | - |  | 1,019.0 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net |  | 212.3 |  | 92.0 |  | 37.1 |  |  | 341.4 |
| Goodwill. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 17.3 |  | 285.2 |  | 90.9 |  |  | 393.4 |
| Intangible assets, net |  | 4.6 |  | 281.1 |  | 140.1 |  |  | 425.8 |
| Other assets. |  | 45.0 |  | 2.7 |  | (2.3) |  |  | 45.4 |
| Investment in affiliates. |  | 1,029.6 |  |  |  |  | (1,029.6) |  | - |
| Intracompany assets. |  |  |  | 206.5 |  |  | (206.5) |  | - |
| Total assets. | \$ | 1,830.9 |  | \$ 1,074.4 | \$ | 555.8 | \$(1,236.1) | \$ | 2,225.0 |
| Current liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current portion of debt. | \$ | 42.1 | \$ | \$ 8.4 | \$ | 10.2 | \$ | \$ | 60.7 |
| Accounts payable. |  | 107.6 |  | 34.1 |  | 83.3 |  |  | 225.0 |
| Accrued liabilities. |  | 123.7 |  | 35.2 |  | 94.7 |  |  | 253.6 |
| Accrued taxes.............................................. |  | 74.8 |  | 2.7 |  | 5.0 |  |  | 82.5 |
| Total current liabilities. |  | 348.2 |  | 80.4 |  | 193.2 | - |  | 621.8 |
| Long-term debt. |  | 604.8 |  | 6.8 |  | 143.3 |  |  | 754.9 |
| Other liabilities. |  | 114.2 |  |  |  | 16.8 |  |  | 131.0 |
| Intracompany liabilities. |  | 46.4 |  |  |  | 160.1 | (206.5) |  | - |
| Total liabilities. |  | 1,113.6 |  | 87.2 |  | 513.4 | (206.5) |  | 1,507.7 |
| Shareholders' equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment from parent.................................. |  |  |  | 495.9 |  | 52.4 | (548.3) |  |  |
| Common shares, no par value per share, $\$ .01$ stated value per share. |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3 |
| Deferred compensation - stock awards.... |  | (10.0) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (10.0) |
| Capital in excess of par value...... |  | 386.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 386.6 |
| Retained earnings. |  | 401.7 |  | 493.1 |  | 14.8 | (507.9) |  | 401.7 |
| Treasury stock............................................ |  | (1.2) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (1.2) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)........ |  | (60.1) |  | (1.8) |  | (24.8) | 26.6 |  | (60.1) |
| Total shareholders' equity.............................. |  | 717.3 |  | 987.2 |  | 42.4 | $(1,029.6)$ |  | 717.3 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity.......... | \$ | 1,830.9 |  | \$ 1,074.4 | \$ | 555.8 | \$(1,236.1) | \$ | 2,225.0 |

THE SCOTTS COMPANY
BALANCE SHEET AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2003
(IN MILLIONS)

## ASSETS

Current Assets:
Cash and cash equivalents
Accounts receivable, net
Inventories, net
Current deferred tax asset
Prepaid and other assets
Total current assets
Property, plant and equipment, net
Goodwill
Intangible assets, net
Other assets
Investment in affiliates
Intracompany assets
Total assets
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
Current Liabilities:
Current portion of debt
Accounts payable
Accrued liabilities
Accrued taxes
Total current liabilities
Long-term debt
Other liabilities
Intracompany liabilities
Total liabilities
Shareholders' Equity:
Investment from parent
Common shares, no par value per share, $\$ .01$ stated
value per share, 32.0 shares issued in 2003
Deferred compensation - stock awards
Capital in excess of stated value
Retained earnings
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)
Total shareholders' equity
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity

## OVERVIEW

Scotts is a leading manufacturer and marketer of consumer branded products for lawn and garden care and professional horticulture in the United States and Europe. We also have a presence in Canada, Australia, the Far East, Latin America and South America. Also, in the United States, we operate and franchise the second largest residential lawn service business, Scotts LawnService(R). In fiscal 2004, our operations are divided into three reportable segments: North America, Scotts LawnService(R) and International.

As a leading consumer branded lawn and garden company, we focus our consumer marketing efforts, including advertising and consumer research, on creating consumer demand to pull products through retail distribution channels. In the past three years, we have spent approximately $5 \%$ of our net sales annually on media advertising to support and promote our products and brands. We have applied this consumer marketing focus for the past several years, and we believe that Scotts receives a significant return on these marketing expenditures. We expect that we will continue to focus our marketing efforts toward the consumer and make additional investments in consumer marketing expenditures in the future to continue to drive category growth and increased market share. In fiscal 2004, we have increased advertising spending as we deliver a new media message for the Ortho(R) line, increased our advertising on selected brands in Europe and continue to have the largest share of voice in our lawn and garden categories in North America.

Our sales are susceptible to global weather conditions. For instance, periods of wet weather like we experienced in the spring of 2003 in the United States adversely impacted fertilizer sales, but increased demand for certain pesticide products. We believe that our past acquisitions have somewhat diversified both our product line risk and geographic risk to weather conditions.

Historically, the majority of our shipments to retailers have occurred in the second and third fiscal quarters. However, over the past three years, retailers have reduced their pre-season inventories by relying on vendors to deliver products "in season" when consumers seek to buy our products. This change in retailer purchasing patterns and the increasing importance of Scotts LawnService(R) revenues have caused a sales shift from our second fiscal quarter to the third and fourth fiscal quarters. Fiscal 2003 net sales by quarter were $9.5 \%, 35.4 \%, 37.2 \%$, and $17.9 \%$, respectively. Concurrent with this sales shift, and because of the expansion of Scotts LawnService(R), the Company has experienced a shift in profitability from the second to third and fourth fiscal quarters, with the third fiscal quarter now more profitable than the second fiscal quarter. Results for the Company's fourth fiscal quarter, historically a loss making quarter, improved substantially in fiscal 2003 as the quarter became profitable. We expect the trend towards stronger fourth fiscal quarter sales and profits to continue in fiscal 2004.

In fiscal 2002, we announced the International Profit Improvement Plan to improve the operations and profitability of our European-based consumer and professional businesses. We have expended approximately $\$ 35$ million through June 26, 2004, of which approximately $25 \%$ has been capital expenditures, primarily technology related. The remaining $75 \%$ of the total spending relates to the reorganization and rationalization of our European supply chain, increased sales force productivity and a shift to Pan-European category management of our product portfolio. By the end of fiscal 2005, we anticipate a cumulative total of $\$ 45$ million to $\$ 55$ million will have been spent on various projects related to this plan. Under the plan, profitability has improved, but the International business continues to perform below expectations. As such, we are aggressively exploring all options for this business.

In January 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an Interpretation of ARB No. 51" (FIN 46). In December 2003, the FASB modified FIN 46 to make certain technical corrections and address certain implementation issues that had arisen. FIN 46 provides a new framework for identifying variable interest entities (VIEs) and determining when a company should include the assets, liabilities, noncontrolling interests, and results of activities of a VIE in its consolidated financial statements. Reference should be made to Note 10 to the Condensed, Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) included in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for additional information as to the Company's assessment of FIN 46.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The following discussion and analysis of the consolidated financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our Condensed, Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Our Annual Report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2003 includes additional information about the Company, our operations and our financial position, and should be read in conjunction with this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our Condensed, Consolidated Financial Statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to customer programs and incentives, product returns, bad debts, inventories, intangible assets, income taxes, restructuring, environmental matters, contingencies and litigation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. The estimates that we believe are most critical to our reporting of results of operations and financial position are as follows:

We have significant investments in property and equipment, intangible assets and goodwill. Whenever changing conditions warrant, we review the realizability of the assets that may be impacted. At least annually, we review indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment. The review for impairment of long-lived assets, intangibles and goodwill takes into account estimates of future cash flows. Our estimates of future cash flows are based upon budgets and longer-range plans. These budgets and plans are used for internal purposes and are also the basis for communication with outside parties about future business trends. While we believe the assumptions we use to estimate future cash flows are reasonable, there can be no assurance that the expected future cash flows will be realized. As a result, impairment charges that possibly should have been recognized in earlier periods may not be recognized until later periods if actual results deviate unfavorably from earlier estimates.

We continually assess the adequacy of our reserves for uncollectible accounts due from customers. However, future changes in our customers' operating performance and cash flows or in general economic conditions could have an impact on their ability to fully pay these amounts which could have a material impact on our results of operations or financial position.

Reserves for product returns are based upon historical data and current program terms and conditions with our customers. Changes in economic conditions, regulatory actions or defective products could result in actual returns being materially different than the amounts provided for in our interim or annual results of operations or financial position.

Reserves for excess and obsolete inventory are based on a variety of factors, including product changes and improvements, changes in active ingredient availability and regulatory acceptance, new product introductions and estimated future demand. The adequacy of our reserves could be materially affected by changes in the demand for our products or by regulatory or competitive actions.

As described more fully in the notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2003, and in the notes to the unaudited, Condensed, Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, we are involved in significant environmental and legal matters which have a high degree of uncertainty associated with them. We continually assess the likely outcomes of these matters and the adequacy of amounts, if any, provided for these matters. There can be no assurance that the ultimate outcomes will not differ materially from our assessment of them. There can also be no assurance that all matters that may be brought against us or that we may bring against other parties are known to us at any point in time.

We accrue for the estimated costs of customer volume rebates, cooperative advertising, consumer coupons and other trade programs as the related sales occur during the year. These accruals involve the use of estimates as to the total expected program costs and the expected sales levels. Historical results are also used to evaluate the accuracy and adequacy of amounts provided at interim dates and year end. There can be no assurance that actual amounts paid for these trade programs will not differ from estimated amounts accrued. However, we believe any such differences would not be material to our financial position or results of operations.

We record income tax liabilities utilizing known obligations and estimates of potential obligations. A deferred tax asset or liability is recognized whenever there are future tax effects from existing temporary differences and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Valuation allowances are used to reduce deferred tax assets to the balance that is more likely than not to be realized. We must make estimates and judgments on future taxable income, considering feasible tax planning strategies and taking into account existing facts and circumstances, to determine the proper valuation allowance. When we determine that deferred tax assets could be realized in greater or lesser amounts than recorded, the asset balance and statement of operations reflect the change in the period such determination is made. Due to changes in facts and circumstances and the estimates and judgments that are involved in determining the proper valuation allowance, differences between actual future events and prior estimates and judgments could result in adjustments to this valuation allowance. The Company uses an estimate of its annual effective tax rate at each interim period based on the facts and circumstances available at that time, while the actual effective tax rate is calculated at year-end.

The following table sets forth net sales by business segment for the three and nine month periods ended June 26, 2004 and June 28, 2003:


The following table sets forth the components of income and expense as a percentage of net sales for the three and nine month periods ended June 26, 2004 and June 28, 2003:

three months ended june 26, 2004 Compared to three months ended june 28, 2003
Net sales for the three months ended June 26,2004 were $\$ 773.7$ million, an increase of $9.0 \%$ from net sales of $\$ 710.0$ million for the three months ended June 28, 2003. Excluding the effect of exchange rates, sales for the third quarter of fiscal 2004 were $\$ 764.2$ million, or $7.6 \%$ above the third quarter of fiscal 2003. Price increases are not material to the discussion of net sales in total or by reportable segment for either fiscal period presented.

The North America segment net sales were $\$ 587.0$ million in the third quarter of fiscal 2004, an increase of $7.3 \%$ from net sales of $\$ 547.1$ million for the third quarter of fiscal 2003. This increase was driven primarily by higher sales within our Ortho(R) and Growing Media groups primarily as a result of increased listings at our top three retail accounts.

Scotts LawnService(R) revenues increased $23.5 \%$ from $\$ 40.5$ million in the third quarter of fiscal 2003 to $\$ 50.0$ million in the third quarter of fiscal 2004. The majority of the increase was due to higher customer counts from organic growth from improved customer retention and new customer sign ups from our Spring marketing campaign. Part of the growth, approximately one-fourth, was from acquisitions completed in the second half of fiscal 2003. Scotts LawnService(R) did not acquire any lawn service businesses in fiscal 2004.

Net sales for the International segment in the third quarter of fiscal 2004 were $\$ 136.7$ million, an increase of $\$ 14.3$ million, or $11.7 \%$, versus the third quarter of fiscal 2003. Excluding the effect of exchange rates, net sales increased by $4.3 \%$. This increase in net sales was primarily due to higher sales in the U.K. occurring in the third quarter due to additional listings at some key accounts and to a shift in customer orders that were deferred from the second to third fiscal quarter due to weather related delays to the start of the lawn and garden season. On a comparative basis, third quarter fiscal 2004 net sales were negatively impacted by our strategic decision to exit a very low gross margin line within our professional business.

Gross profit was $\$ 307.9$ million in the third quarter of fiscal 2004, an increase of $\$ 27.1$ million from gross profit of $\$ 280.8$ million in the third quarter of fiscal 2003. As a percentage of net sales, gross profit was $39.8 \%$ of net sales in the third quarter of fiscal 2004 compared to $39.5 \%$ in the third quarter of fiscal 2003. The International segment was responsible for this increase as margins improved due to the exit of the low margin professional line described above. North America experienced a favorable sales mix in the third quarter of fiscal 2004 but it was more than offset by increased raw material and freight costs in comparison to the third quarter of fiscal 2003.

The net commission from the marketing agreement was $\$ 23.4$ million in the third quarter of fiscal 2004 and $\$ 16.5$ million in the third quarter of fiscal 2003. This increase from the prior year's comparable period is driven by increased sales volume.

Advertising expenses in the third quarter of fiscal 2004 were $\$ 41.7$ million, an increase of $9.4 \%$ from $\$ 38.1$ million in the third quarter of fiscal 2003. As a percentage of net sales, advertising expenses were $5.4 \%$ in the third quarter of fiscal 2004.

Selling, general and administrative expenses ("SG\&A"), excluding Scotts LawnService(R), stock-based compensation and restructuring and other charges, increased to $\$ 94.1$ million in the third quarter of fiscal 2004 from $\$ 85.0$ million in the third quarter of fiscal 2003. This increase in SG\&A was due primarily to higher legal, environmental, severance and incentive costs, as well as costs related to certain strategic initiatives.

SG\&A in the Scotts LawnService(R) business increased from $\$ 11.8$ million in the third quarter of fiscal 2003 to $\$ 14.8$ million in the third quarter of fiscal 2004, reflecting the increased number of locations added in the second half of fiscal 2003 from acquisitions, and increased management, office staff and infrastructure costs to support the larger customer count and higher selling expenses due to a successful spring 2004 marketing campaign.

Stock-based compensation expense increased to $\$ 3.7$ million in the third quarter of fiscal 2004, compared to $\$ 1.6$ million in the third quarter of fiscal 2003, primarily reflecting amortization of grants issued in fiscal 2003 coupled with amortization associated with new grants issued in fiscal 2004. The full year charges for stock-based compensation are expected to increase by $\$ 4.0$ to \$5.0 million in fiscal 2004 compared to fiscal 2003.

Restructuring and other charges included in SG\&A increased from \$1.2 million in fiscal 2003's third quarter to $\$ 2.4$ million in fiscal 2004's third quarter primarily due to severance related to the restructuring of the International management team and costs incurred to outsource certain functions in our Global Information Services group. Future costs of $\$ 1.7$ million and $\$ 0.7$ million are expected to be incurred in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2004 and the first quarter of fiscal 2005, respectively, related to the restructuring of our Global Information Services group.

For segment reporting purposes, the Company defines operating income as earnings before interest, taxes, amortization of intangible assets, stock-based compensation, and restructuring and other charges ("EBITA"), as this is the measure used by management to assess earnings performance. Segment performance for the third quarter of fiscal 2004 compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2003 was as follows:

North America's operating income increased from $\$ 154.8$ million in fiscal 2003 to $\$ 165.3$ million in fiscal 2004, as improved sales and net commissions from the marketing agreement, previously described above, more than offset current year SG\&A increases in severance, incentives, selling and research and development costs;

Scotts LawnService(R) also reported higher operating income, \$13.2 million compared to $\$ 10.4$ million. As this highly seasonal business grows and adds fixed infrastructure costs, it will have larger losses in the first and second quarters of the fiscal year due to seasonally low revenues. Conversely, the second half of the fiscal year will provide higher revenues, margins and operating income;

International's operating income increased from $\$ 16.4$ million to $\$ 20.9$ million due to higher net sales (as described above),
improved gross margin (particularly in the Professional business), lower SG\&A spending (excluding the effect of foreign exchange) and $\$ 1.2$ million in favorable foreign exchange transactions.

Interest expense for the third quarter of fiscal 2004 was $\$ 12.7$ million, compared to $\$ 18.2$ million for the third quarter of fiscal 2003. The decrease in interest expense was due to a reduction in average borrowings as compared to the prior year coupled with a reduction in the weighted average interest rate as a result of lower rates under our New Credit Agreement and the issuance of our 6 $5 / 8 \%$ Notes in October 2003, which allowed us to redeem our $85 / 8 \%$ Notes. The Company did record a $\$ 0.3$ million one-time charge in the third quarter of 2004 for the accelerated amortization of certain costs related to the early retirement of $\$ 100$ million of Term B Notes.

The income tax expense was calculated assuming an annual effective tax rate of $38.0 \%$ for the third quarter of fiscal 2004. The annual effective tax rate was adjusted downward from $38.0 \%$ to $36.4 \%$ in the third quarter of fiscal 2003 to reflect a favorable adjustment to the company's deferred tax assets as a result of a jurisdictional analysis of the deferred tax accounts and changes in state tax rates. The effective tax rate used for interim reporting purposes is based on management's best estimate of factors impacting the effective tax rate for the fiscal year. Factors affecting the estimated rate include assumptions as to income by jurisdiction (domestic and foreign), the availability and utilization of tax credits, the existence of elements of income and expense that may not be taxable or deductible, as well as other items. There can be no assurance that the effective tax rate estimated for interim financial reporting purposes will approximate that determined at fiscal year end. The estimated effective tax rate is subject to revision in later interim periods and at fiscal year end as facts and circumstances change during the course of the fiscal year. There are no material changes to the effective tax rate expected at this time.

The Company reported net income of $\$ 100.3$ million for the third quarter of fiscal 2004, compared to $\$ 91.2$ million for the third quarter of fiscal 2003. Average shares outstanding for purposes of computing earnings per common share, increased from 31.1 million at June 28, 2003 to 32.5 million at June 26, 2004, due primarily to shares issued for option and warrant exercises.

NINE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 26, 2004 COMPARED TO NINE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 28, 2003
Net sales for the nine months ended June 26, 2004 were $\$ 1,689.1$ million, an increase of $7.8 \%$ from net sales of $\$ 1,567.0$ million for the nine months ended June 28, 2003. Excluding the effect of exchange rates, sales for the first half of fiscal 2004 were $\$ 1,647.6$ million, or $5.1 \%$ above the first nine months of fiscal 2003. Price increases are not material to the discussion of net sales in total or by reportable segment for either fiscal period presented.

The North America segment net sales were $\$ 1,247.6$ million in the first nine months of fiscal 2004, an increase of $6.4 \%$ from net sales of $\$ 1,172.7$ million for the first nine months of fiscal 2003. This increase primarily was driven by strong sales within our Lawns, Gardening Products and Ortho(R) businesses.

Scotts LawnService(R) revenues increased $26.0 \%$ from $\$ 67.3$ million in the first nine months of fiscal 2003 to $\$ 84.8$ million in the first nine months of fiscal 2004. This growth reflects an increase in the number of customers from acquisitions in the second half of fiscal 2003, organic customer count growth as the result of a successful spring direct mailing campaign, favorable weather conditions, improved customer retention, and an increase in average revenue per application.

Net sales for the International segment in the first nine months of fiscal 2004 were $\$ 356.7$ million, an increase of $\$ 29.7$ million, or $9.1 \%$, versus the first nine months of fiscal 2003. Excluding the effect of exchange rates, net sales declined by $2.8 \%$. This decrease was partially due to poor weather which led to slow category performance. Poor weather delayed the lawn and garden season in Europe until May and these sales were never fully recovered in the third quarter. In addition, our decision to exit a very low gross margin line within our professional business and continued drought conditions in Australia also decreased net sales in the International segment. It is expected that total International sales will be slightly down for the full year, as compared to fiscal 2003, after adjusting for the effect of exchange rates.

Gross profit was $\$ 647.2$ million in the first nine months of fiscal 2004, an increase of $\$ 71.2$ million from gross profit of $\$ 576.0$ million in the first nine months of fiscal 2003. As a percentage of net sales, gross profit was $38.3 \%$ of net sales in the first nine months of fiscal 2004 compared to $36.8 \%$ in the first nine months of fiscal 2003. Excluding the effect of favorable exchange rates and excluding restructuring and other charges, gross profit margin was $38.4 \%$ of net sales in the first nine months of fiscal 2004 compared to $37.1 \%$ in the first nine months of fiscal 2003. North America gross profit margin in the first nine months of fiscal 2004 improved significantly due to favorable product mix, favorable distribution expense (driven by reductions in warehousing costs) and favorable trade program spending. The International segment realized improved margins due to the exit of the low margin professional line described above.

The net commission from the marketing agreement was $\$ 24.5$ million in the first nine months of fiscal 2004 compared to net commission of $\$ 13.5$ million in the first nine months of fiscal 2003. This increase from the prior year's comparable period is driven by sales volume, and favorable margins, due to product mix.

Advertising expenses in the first nine months of fiscal 2004 were $\$ 89.8$ million, an increase of $9.9 \%$ from $\$ 81.7$ million in the first nine months of fiscal 2003. As a percentage of net sales, advertising expenses were $5.3 \%$ in the first nine months of fiscal 2004 compared to $5.2 \%$ in the first nine months of fiscal 2003.

Selling, general and administrative expenses ("SG\&A"), excluding Scotts LawnService(R), stock-based compensation and restructuring and other charges, increased to $\$ 279.3$ million in the first nine months of fiscal 2004 from $\$ 243.8$ million in the first nine months of fiscal 2003. This increase in SG\&A was due primarily to increased legal costs, severance and incentives, increased costs related to strategic initiatives, and higher environmental expenses, coupled with the non-recurrence of bad debt recoveries realized in fiscal 2003.

SG\&A in the Scotts LawnService(R) business increased from $\$ 34.9$ million in the first nine months of fiscal 2003 to $\$ 42.3$ million in the first nine months of fiscal 2004, reflecting the increased number of locations added over the past year from acquisitions, primarily in new markets and increased administrative support costs due to organic growth in customer counts.

Stock-based compensation expense increased to $\$ 8.1$ million in the first nine months of fiscal 2004, compared to $\$ 3.1$ million in the first nine months of fiscal 2003, primarily reflecting amortization of grants issued in fiscal 2003 coupled with amortization associated with grants issued in fiscal 2004. The full year charges for stock-based compensation are expected to increase by $\$ 4.0$ to $\$ 5.0$ million in fiscal 2004 compared to fiscal 2003.

Restructuring and other charges included in SG\&A decreased from \$5.5 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2003 to $\$ 3.1$ million in the first nine months of fiscal 2004 due to reduced North American distribution restructuring costs and reduced charges related to our International Profit Improvement Plan. Included in our fiscal 2004 restructuring and other charges are costs incurred to restructure our International management team, as well as expenses related to the outsourcing of certain functions within our Global Information Services group.

For segment reporting purposes, the Company defines operating income as EBITA, as this is the measure used by management to assess earnings performance. Segment performance for the first nine months of fiscal 2004 compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2003 was as follows:

North America's operating income increased from $\$ 253.5$ million in fiscal 2003 to $\$ 282.2$ million in fiscal 2004, as improved sales, gross margins and net commission from the marketing agreement, previously described above, more than offset current year SG\&A increases in severance, incentives, selling and research and development costs;

Scotts LawnService(R) reported higher net sales, and a decreased operating loss, $\$ 6.0$ million compared to $\$ 6.8$ million last year. As this highly seasonal business grows and adds fixed infrastructure costs, it will have larger losses in the first and second quarters of the fiscal year due to seasonally low revenues. Conversely, the second half of the fiscal year will provide higher revenues, margins and operating income as was the case in the third fiscal quarter of 2004;

International's operating income increased from $\$ 37.3$ million to $\$ 43.7$ million, as the net sales decline, (excluding the effect of exchange rates), was more than offset by improved gross margin and SG\&A expense reductions.

Interest expense for the first nine months of fiscal 2004 was \$38.1 million, compared to $\$ 53.4$ million for the first nine months of fiscal 2003. The decrease in interest expense was due to a reduction in average borrowings as compared to the prior year, coupled with a reduction in the weighted average interest rate as a result of lower rates under our New Credit Agreement and the issuance of our $65 / 8 \%$ Notes in October 2003, which allowed us to redeem our 8 5/8\% Notes.

The income tax expense was calculated assuming an annual effective tax rate of $38.0 \%$ for the first nine months of fiscal 2004. The annual effective tax rate was adjusted downward from $38.0 \%$ to $36.4 \%$ in the third quarter of fiscal 2003 to reflect a favorable adjustment to the Company's deferred tax assets as a result of a jurisdictional analysis of the deferred tax accounts and changes in state tax rates. The effective tax rate used for interim reporting purposes is based on management's best estimate of factors impacting the effective tax rate for the fiscal year. Factors affecting the estimated rate include assumptions as to income by jurisdiction (domestic
and foreign), the availability and utilization of tax credits, the existence of elements of income and expense that may not be taxable or deductible, as well as other items. There can be no assurance that the effective tax rate estimated for interim financial reporting purposes will approximate that determined at fiscal year end. The estimated effective tax rate is subject to revision in later interim periods and at fiscal year end as facts and circumstances change during the course of the fiscal year. There are no material changes to the effective tax rate expected at this time.

The Company reported net income of $\$ 102.7$ million for the first nine months of fiscal 2004, compared to $\$ 106.9$ million for the first nine months of fiscal 2003. Excluding the one-time costs related to the refinancing of our credit facility, net income for the nine months ended June 26, 2004 was $\$ 130.3$ million. Average shares outstanding for purposes of computing earnings per common share increased from 30.7 million at June 28, 2003 to 32.2 million at June 26, 2004 due to shares issued for option and warrant exercises.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Cash provided by operating activities was $\$ 44.0$ million and $\$ 42.1$ million for the nine months ended June 26, 2004 and June 28, 2003, respectively. Cash provided by the seasonal growth in accounts payable was $\$ 89.0$ million in fiscal 2004 versus $\$ 102.3$ million in fiscal 2003 as accounts payable were higher heading into fiscal 2004 versus fiscal 2003 due to cash management initiatives in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2003. The reduced cash flow from operations generated from seasonal growth in accounts payable was offset by higher cash earnings (excluding the costs related to the refinancing of our credit facility). The net effect of these items results in cash provided by operating activities being essentially flat between years.

Cash used in investing activities was $\$ 31.6$ million and $\$ 83.5$ million, respectively, for the nine months ended June 26, 2004 and June 28, 2003. Capital expenditures were responsible for $\$ 24.4$ million of the decrease between the periods. There were no significant capital projects that commenced in the first nine months of fiscal 2004. In addition, principal payments due on seller notes issued in conjunction with prior acquisitions were $\$ 21.9$ million less during the first nine months of fiscal 2004 as compared to fiscal 2003. We anticipate significantly lower payments on seller notes for the full year as we expect to complete very few acquisitions in Scotts LawnService(R) in fiscal 2004.

Financing activities used cash of $\$ 130.7$ million and $\$ 4.5$ million for the nine months ended June 26, 2004 and June 28, 2003, respectively. During the first quarter of fiscal 2004, we restructured our borrowing arrangements through the refinancing of our former Credit Agreement and the redemption of our $85 / 8 \%$ Notes which were replaced by the issuance of our $65 / 8 \%$ Notes. In the first quarter of fiscal 2003, a $\$ 24.4$ million mandatory prepayment was made on term loans as required by the level of fiscal 2002 excess cash flow, as defined in the former Credit Agreement.

On June 24, 2004, the Company repaid $\$ 100$ million of the $\$ 499$ million term loans then outstanding under the New Credit Agreement. As a result of the repayment, the amortization of approximately $\$ 0.3$ million of deferred financing costs was accelerated. The Company is currently negotiating the refinancing of the remaining $\$ 399$ million in term loans by means of an amendment to the New Credit Agreement. The Company anticipates a reduction in interest rate spreads and improved covenant flexibility.

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash generated by operations and borrowings under our credit agreements. The New Credit Agreement consists of a $\$ 700$ million multi-currency revolving credit commitment and a $\$ 500$ million term loan facility. Note 5 to the Condensed, Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) included in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q provides additional information pertaining to the refinancing of our former Credit Agreement, the redemption of our $85 / 8 \%$ Notes, the issuance of our $65 / 8 \%$ Notes and our New Credit Agreement. At June 26, 2004, we were in compliance with all of our debt covenants.

We have not paid dividends on our common shares in the past and currently have no plans to pay dividends in the future. We anticipate that our earnings will be retained and reinvested to support the growth of our business or to pay down indebtedness. The payment of future dividends, if any, on common shares will be determined by our Board of Directors in light of conditions then existing, including our earnings, financial condition, capital requirements, restrictions in financing agreements, business conditions and other factors.

All of our off-balance sheet financing arrangements are in the form of operating leases that are disclosed in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2003. During the second quarter of fiscal 2004, we took final delivery on a used aircraft under the terms of a synthetic operating lease agreement as disclosed in Note 8 to the Condensed, Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) included in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

We are party to various pending judicial and administrative proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. These include, among others, proceedings based on accidents or product liability claims and alleged violations of environmental laws. We have reviewed our pending environmental and legal proceedings, including the probable outcomes, reasonably anticipated costs and expenses, reviewed the availability and limits of our insurance coverage and have established what we believe to be appropriate reserves. We do not believe that any liabilities that may result from these proceedings are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, financial condition or results of operations.

In our opinion, cash flows from operations and capital resources will be sufficient to meet debt service and working capital needs during fiscal 2004, and thereafter for the foreseeable future. However, we cannot ensure that our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations or that future borrowings will be available under our credit facilities in amounts sufficient to pay indebtedness or fund other liquidity needs. Actual results of operations will depend on numerous factors, many of which are beyond our control.

## ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

We are subject to local, state, federal and foreign environmental protection laws and regulations with respect to our business operations and believe we are operating in substantial compliance with, or taking action aimed at ensuring compliance with, such laws and regulations. We are involved in several legal actions with various governmental agencies related to environmental matters. While it is difficult to quantify the potential financial impact of actions involving environmental matters, particularly remediation costs at waste disposal sites and future capital expenditures for environmental control equipment, in the opinion of management, the ultimate liability arising from such environmental matters, taking into account established reserves, should not have a material adverse effect on our financial position. However, there can be no assurance that the resolution of these matters will not materially affect future quarterly or annual results of operations, financial position and cash flows. Additional information on environmental matters affecting us is provided in Note 9 to the Condensed, Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) included in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in the fiscal 2003 Annual Report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ under the "ITEM 1. BUSINESS - ENVIRONMENTAL AND REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS" and "ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS" sections.

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We have made and will make "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in this Form $10-Q$ and in other contexts relating to future growth and profitability targets and strategies designed to increase total shareholder value. Forward-looking statements also include, but are not limited to, information regarding our future economic and financial condition, the plans and objectives of our management and our assumptions regarding our performance and these plans and objectives

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a "safe harbor" for forward-looking statements to encourage companies to provide prospective information, so long as those statements are identified as forward-looking and are accompanied by meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements. We desire to take advantage of the "safe harbor" provisions of that Act.

Some forward-looking statements that we make in this Form $10-\mathrm{Q}$ and in other contexts represent challenging goals for the Company, and the achievement of these goals is subject to a variety of risks and assumptions and numerous factors beyond our control. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements we make are described below. All forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons working on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the following cautionary statements.

OUR SUBSTANTIAL INDEBTEDNESS COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR FINANCIAL HEALTH AND PREVENT US FROM FULFILLING OUR OBLIGATIONS.

We have a significant amount of debt. Our substantial indebtedness could have important consequences. For example, it could:
make it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations under outstanding indebtedness and otherwise;
increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;
require us to dedicate a substantial portion of cash flows from operations to payments on our indebtedness, which would reduce the cash flows available to fund working capital, capital expenditures, advertising, research and development efforts and other general corporate requirements;

- limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;
- place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to certain competitors that may have less debt;
- limit our ability to borrow additional funds; and
- expose us to risks inherent in interest rate fluctuations because some of our borrowings are at variable rates of interest, which could result in higher interest expense in the event of increases in interest rates.

Our ability to make payments on and to refinance our indebtedness and to fund planned capital expenditures and acquisitions will depend on our ability to generate cash in the future. This, to some extent, is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control.

We cannot assure you that our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operating activities or that future borrowings will be available to us under our New Credit Agreement in amounts sufficient to enable us to pay our indebtedness or to fund our other liquidity needs. We may need to refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness, on or before maturity. We cannot assure you that we will be able to refinance any of our indebtedness on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT US.
Our New Credit Agreement and the indenture governing our outstanding 6 $5 / 8 \%$ Notes contain restrictive covenants and cross default provisions that require us to maintain specified financial ratios. Our ability to satisfy those financial ratios can be affected by events beyond our control, and we cannot assure you that we will satisfy those tests. A breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under our New Credit Agreement and/or our outstanding $65 / 8 \%$ Notes. Upon the occurrence of an event of default under our New Credit Agreement and/or the $65 / 8 \%$ Notes, the lenders and/or noteholders could elect to declare the applicable outstanding indebtedness to be immediately due and payable and terminate all commitments to extend further credit. We cannot be sure that our lenders or the noteholders would waive a default or that we could pay the indebtedness in full if it were accelerated.

- WEATHER CONDITIONS COULD ADVERSELY IMPACT FINANCIAL RESULTS.

Weather conditions in North America and Europe have a significant impact on the timing of sales in the spring selling season and overall annual sales. An abnormally cold or wet spring throughout North America and/or Europe could adversely affect sales and therefore our financial results.

- OUR HISTORICAL SEASONALITY COULD IMPAIR OUR ABILITY TO PAY OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE IN ADDITION TO OUR OPERATING EXPENSES.

Because our products are used primarily in the spring and summer, our business is highly seasonal. For the past two fiscal years, more than $70 \%$ of our net sales have occurred in the second and third fiscal quarters combined. Our working capital needs and our borrowings peak near the middle of our second fiscal quarter because we are generating fewer revenues while incurring expenditures and building inventories in preparation for the spring selling season. If cash on hand is insufficient to pay our obligations as they come due, including interest payments on our indebtedness, or our operating expenses, at a time when we are unable to draw on our credit facility, this seasonality could have a material adverse effect on our ability to conduct our business. Adverse weather conditions could heighten this risk.

PERCEPTIONS THAT THE PRODUCTS WE PRODUCE AND MARKET ARE NOT SAFE COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT US.

We manufacture and market a number of complex products bearing our brand names, such as fertilizers, growing media, herbicides and pesticides, many of which contain chemicals. On occasion, allegations are made that some of our products have failed to perform up to expectations or have caused damage or injury to individuals or property. Public perception that our products are not safe, whether justified or not, could impair our reputation, involve us in litigation, damage our brand names and have a material adverse effect on our business.

- THE NATURE OF CERTAIN OF OUR PRODUCTS AND OUR BUSINESS SUCCESS CONTRIBUTE TO THE RISK THAT

The nature of certain of our products and our business success contribute to the risk that the Company will be subjected to lawsuits. The following are among the factors that contribute to this litigation risk:

- We manufacture and market a number of complex chemical products bearing our brand names, including fertilizers, growing media, herbicides and pesticides. There is a portion of the population that perceives all chemical products as potentially hazardous. This perception, regardless of its merits, enhances the risk that the Company will be subjected to product liability claims that allege harm from exposure to our products. Product liability claims are brought against the Company from time to time.
- The Company has been named a defendant in product liability lawsuits and may be named a defendant in additional product liability suits apparently based on allegations regarding the Company's past use, in some of its products, of vermiculite supplied to the Company, some of which has been reported to have contained impurities. See Note 9 to the Condensed, Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) included in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.
- We are a significant competitor in many of the markets in which we compete. Our success in our markets enhances the risk that the Company will be targeted by plaintiffs' lawyers, consumer groups, competitors and others asserting antitrust claims. Antitrust claims are brought against the Company from time to time. The Company believes that the antitrust claims of which it is aware are without merit.

Please see Note 9 to the Condensed, Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) included in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and the disclosures under Part II, Item 1 "Legal Proceedings" of this Quarterly Report Form 10-Q for information concerning certain significant lawsuits and claims involving the Company.

BECAUSE OF THE CONCENTRATION OF OUR SALES TO A SMALL NUMBER OF RETAIL CUSTOMERS, THE LOSS OF ONE OR MORE OF, OR SIGNIFICANT DECLINE IN ORDERS FROM, OUR TOP CUSTOMERS COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, FINANCIAL POSITION OR CASH FLOWS.

North America net sales represented approximately $74 \%$ of our worldwide net sales for fiscal 2003. Our top three North American retail customers together accounted for 69\% of our North American fiscal 2003 net sales and $79 \%$ of our outstanding accounts receivable as of September 30, 2003. Home Depot, Wal-Mart and Lowe's represented approximately $37 \%, 19 \%$ and $13 \%$, respectively, of our fiscal 2003 North American net sales. The loss of, or reduction in orders from, Home Depot, Wal-Mart and Lowe's or any other significant customer could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position or cash flows, as could customer disputes regarding shipments, fees, merchandise condition or related matters. Our inability to collect accounts receivable from any of these customers could also have a material adverse effect.

We do not have long-term sales agreements or other contractual assurances as to future sales to any of our major retail customers. In addition, continued consolidation in the retail industry has resulted in an increasingly concentrated retail base. To the extent such concentration continues to occur, our results of operations, financial position or cash flows may be increasingly sensitive to a deterioration in the financial condition of, or other adverse developments involving our relationship with, one or more customers.

- THE HIGHLY COMPETITIVE NATURE OF THE COMPANY'S MARKETS COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT THE ABILITY OF THE COMPANY TO GROW OR MAINTAIN REVENUES.

Each of our segments participates in markets that are highly competitive Many of our competitors sell their products at prices lower than ours, and we compete primarily on the basis of product quality, product performance, value, brand strength, supply chain competency and advertising. Some of our competitors have significant financial resources and research departments. The strong competition that we face in all of our markets may prevent us from achieving our revenue goals, which may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

IF MONSANTO WERE TO TERMINATE THE MARKETING AGREEMENT FOR CONSUMER ROUNDUP(R) PRODUCTS WITHOUT BEING REQUIRED TO PAY ANY TERMINATION FEE, WE WOULD LOSE A SUBSTANTIAL SOURCE OF FUTURE EARNINGS.

If we were to commit a serious default under the marketing agreement with Monsanto for consumer Roundup(R) products,

Monsanto may have the right to terminate the agreement. If Monsanto were to terminate the marketing agreement for cause, we would not be entitled to any termination fee, and we would lose all, or a significant portion, of this significant source of earnings and overhead expense absorption the marketing agreement provides. Monsanto may also be able to terminate the marketing agreement within a given region, including North America, without paying us a termination fee if sales to consumers in that region decline:
over a cumulative three fiscal year period; or

- by more than $5 \%$ for each of two consecutive fiscal years.
- THE HAGEDORN PARTNERSHIP, L.P. BENEFICIALLY OWNS APPROXIMATELY 33\% OF OUR OUTSTANDING COMMON SHARES ON A FULLY DILUTED BASIS.

The Hagedorn Partnership, L.P. beneficially owns approximately $33 \%$ of our outstanding common shares and has sufficient voting power to significantly influence the election of directors and the approval of other actions requiring the approval of our shareholders.

COMPLIANCE WITH ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER PUBLIC HEALTH REGULATIONS COULD INCREASE OUR COST OF DOING BUSINESS.

Local, state, federal and foreign laws and regulations relating to environmental matters affect us in several ways. In the United States, all products containing pesticides must be registered with the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("U.S. EPA") and, in many cases, similar state agencies before they can be sold. The inability to obtain or the cancellation of any registration could have an adverse effect on our business. The severity of the effect would depend on which products were involved, whether another product could be substituted and whether our competitors were similarly affected. We attempt to anticipate regulatory developments and maintain registrations of, and access to, substitute chemicals. We may not always be able to avoid or minimize these risks.

The Food Quality Protection Act, enacted by the U.S. Congress in August 1996, established the following standard for food-use pesticides: a reasonable certainty no harm will result from the cumulative effect of pesticide exposures. Under this act, the U.S. EPA is evaluating the cumulative risks from dietary and non-dietary exposures to pesticides. The pesticides in our products, certain of which may be used on crops processed into various food products, continue to be evaluated by the U.S. EPA as part of this exposure risk assessment. It is possible that the U.S. EPA or a third party active ingredient registrant may decide that a pesticide we use in our products will be limited or made unavailable to us. For example, in June 2000, DowAgroSciences, an active ingredient registrant, voluntarily agreed to a gradual phase-out of residential uses of chlorpyrifos, an active ingredient that was used in certain of our lawn and garden products. In December 2000, the U.S. EPA reached agreement with various parties, including manufacturers, regarding a phased withdrawal from retailers by December 2004 of residential use products containing diazinon, an active ingredient used in certain of our lawn and garden products. We cannot predict the outcome or the severity of the effect of the U.S. EPA's continuing evaluations of active ingredients used in our products.

The use of certain pesticide and fertilizer products is regulated by various local, state, federal and foreign environmental and public health agencies. Regulations regarding the use of some pesticide and fertilizer products may include requirements that only certified or professional users apply the product, that the products be used only in specified locations or that certain ingredients not be used. Users may be required to post notices on properties to which products have been or will be applied and may be required to notify individuals in the vicinity that products will be applied in the future. Even if we are able to comply with all such regulations and obtain all necessary registrations, we cannot assure you that our products, particularly pesticide products, will not cause injury to the environment or to people under all circumstances. The costs of compliance, remediation or products liability have adversely affected results of operations, financial position and cash flows in the past and could do so again in the future.

The harvesting of peat for our growing media business has come under increasing regulatory and environmental scrutiny. In the United States, state regulations frequently require us to limit our harvesting and to restore the property to an agreed-upon condition. In some locations, we have been required to create water retention ponds to control the sediment content of discharged water.

In addition to the regulations already described, local, state, federal and foreign agencies regulate the disposal, handling and storage of waste, air and water discharges from our facilities. In June 1997, the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency ("Ohio EPA") initiated an enforcement action against us with respect to alleged surface water violations and inadequate treatment
capabilities at our Marysville facility and is seeking corrective action under the Resource Conservation Recovery Act. We have met with the Ohio EPA and the Ohio Attorney General's office to negotiate an amicable resolution of these issues. On December 3, 2001, an agreed judicial Consent Order was submitted to the Union County Common Pleas Court and was entered by the court on January 25, 2002.

During the first nine months of fiscal 2004, we have expended approximately $\$ 2.4$ million related to environmental matters, including a $\$ 1.1$ million increase in our reserves recognized during the third quarter. We incurred approximately $\$ 1.5$ million in environmental expenditures for all of fiscal 2003.

The adequacy of these estimated future expenditures is based on our operating in substantial compliance with applicable environmental and public health laws and regulations and several significant assumptions:

- that we have identified all of the significant sites that must be remediated;
- that there are no significant conditions of potential contamination that are unknown to us; and
- that with respect to the agreed judicial Consent Order in Ohio, that potentially contaminated soil can be remediated in place rather than having to be removed and only specific stream segments will require remediation as opposed to the entire stream.

If there is a significant change in the facts and circumstances surrounding these assumptions or if we are found not to be in substantial compliance with applicable environmental and public health laws and regulations, it could have a material impact on future environmental capital expenditures and other environmental expenses and our results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

OUR SIGNIFICANT INTERNATIONAL OPERATIONS MAKE US SUSCEPTIBLE TO FLUCTUATIONS IN CURRENCY EXCHANGE RATES AND TO THE COSTS OF INTERNATIONAL REGULATION.

We currently operate manufacturing, sales and service facilities outside of North America, particularly in the United Kingdom, Germany, France, Belgium and the Netherlands. In fiscal 2003, international sales accounted for approximately $20 \%$ of our total sales. Accordingly, we are subject to risks associated with operations in foreign countries, including:

- fluctuations in currency exchange rates;
- limitations on the conversion of foreign currencies into U.S. dollars;
- limitations on the remittance of dividends and other payments by foreign subsidiaries;
- additional costs of compliance with local regulations; and
- historically, higher rates of inflation than in the United States.

In addition, our operations outside the United States are subject to the risk of new and different legal and regulatory requirements in local jurisdictions, potential difficulties in staffing and managing local operations and potentially adverse tax consequences. The costs related to our international operations could adversely affect our results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK
Market risks have not changed significantly from those disclosed in the Registrant's Annual Report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2003.

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

With the participation of the Registrant's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, the Registrant's management has evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")), as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based upon that evaluation, the Registrant's principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that:
(A) information required to be disclosed by the Registrant in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q would be accumulated and communicated to the Registrant's management, including its principal executive and financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure;
(B) information required to be disclosed by the Registrant in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q would be recorded, processed,
summarized and reported within the time period specified in the SEC's rules and forms; and
(C) the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant and its consolidated subsidiaries is made known to them, particularly during the period in which the Registrant's periodic reports, including this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, are being prepared.

In addition, there were no changes in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the Registrant's fiscal quarter ended June 26, 2004 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

THE SCOTTS COMPANY V. AVENTIS S.A. AND STARLINK LOGISTICS, INC.
On August 9, 2002, The Scotts Company filed suit against Aventis S.A. and its wholly-owned subsidiary Starlink Logistics, Inc. in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Ohio. In the complaint, The Scotts Company alleges it is entitled to injunctive and monetary relief arising from Aventis' and Starlink's interference with The Scotts Company's contractual right to purchase a company called TechPac, L.L.C. from one of Aventis' former subsidiaries, Aventis CropScience. The complaint alleges that pursuant to a contract between The Scotts Company and a predecessor-in-interest to Aventis CropScience, Aventis CropScience was obligated to make a bona fide offer to sell its interest in TechPac to The Scotts Company. The complaint further alleges that Aventis directed Aventis CropScience to make a belated sham offer to The Scotts Company and that later, upon the sale of Aventis CropScience to Bayer AG, Aventis transferred ownership of TechPac to Starlink, an act which has made it impossible for Aventis CropScience's successor-in-interest to make a bona fide offer to sell TechPac to The Scotts Company.

In this suit, The Scotts Company seeks to ensure that it is able to exercise its right to receive a bona fide offer to acquire TechPac, and The Scotts Company seeks to recover compensatory and punitive damages in an amount as yet undetermined for Aventis' and Starlink's interference with The Scotts Company's right to receive such an offer. On October 4, 2002, Starlink filed a motion to dismiss the complaint on jurisdictional grounds. On December 17, 2002, Aventis filed a similar motion. On April 23, 2004, the court dismissed the action without prejudice.

The Scotts Company appealed the dismissal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, where the appeal remains pending. In addition, The Scotts Company and certain subsidiaries filed an action against Aventis, StarLink and others, in the Court of Common Pleas of Union County, Ohio. The defendants removed that action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, where it is currently pending as Civil Action No. 04-CV-352.

HYPONEX FACILITY INVESTIGATION, CHINO, CALIFORNIA
On November 1, 2002, associate Felix Garzon-Zambrano was killed in an accident while driving a forklift at the Company's Hyponex facility in Chino, California. California's Division of Occupational Safety and Health ("Cal-OSHA") investigated the accident and cited Scotts for certain safety violations resulting in penalties in the amount of $\$ 37,875$, which the Company did not challenge and timely paid.

On July 21, 2003, the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office informed the Company that it was conducting a criminal investigation into the accident, specifically into whether the Company may have violated certain California Labor Code provisions and whether any such violation may have caused Mr. Garzon-Zambrano's death.

The Company is cooperating with the District Attorney's Office in an effort to convince the District Attorney that this matter should not be pursued. Due to the uncertainties inherent in such matters, the Company is not in a position at this time to express an opinion as to the probable outcome of these efforts.

The same facts have given rise to a suit by Mr. Garzon-Zambrano's family members against the Company in the Superior Court of San Bernardino County, California, for unspecified damages. This suit is in the discovery stage.

## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) Exhibits: See Index to Exhibits at page 44 for a list of the exhibits included herewith.
(b) Current Reports on Form 8-K:

The Registrant filed a Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 16, 2004 reporting under "Item 5. Other Events" a change in its reportable segments previously reported in the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2003. These new segments differ from those used in the previous fiscal year due to the absorption of the Global Professional group into the North America and International segments based on geography.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

THE SCOTTS COMPANY
/s/ Christopher L. Nagel
Christopher L. Nagel
Date: August 9, 2004
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Principal Accounting Officer)

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

| EXHIBIT NO. | DESCRIPTION |
| :--- | :---: |
| $31(\mathrm{a})$ | Rule $13 \mathrm{a}-14(\mathrm{a}) / 15 \mathrm{~d}-14(\mathrm{a})$ Certification (Principal Executive Officer) |
| $31(\mathrm{~b})$ | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification (Principal Financial Officer) |
| 32 | Section 1350 Certification (Principal Executive <br> Officer and Principal Financial Officer) |

## LOCATION

-------
*
.

* Officer and Principal Financial Officer)
- ----------------

Filed herewith.

RULE 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) CERTIFICATION (PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER)

I, James Hagedorn, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 26, 2004, of The Scotts Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
(b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
(c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 9, 2004
/s/ James Hagedorn
By: James Hagedorn
President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board

RULE 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) CERTIFICATION (PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER)

I, Christopher L. Nagel, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 26, 2004, of The Scotts Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
(b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
(c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 9, 2004
/s/ Christopher L. Nagel
By: Christopher L. Nagel
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

## SECTION 1350 CERTIFICATION*

In connection with the Quarterly Report of The Scotts Company (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 26, 2004 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), the undersigned, James Hagedorn, President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of the Company, and Christopher L. Nagel, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-0xley Act of 2002, that, to the best of their knowledge:

1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.
/s/ James Hagedorn
James Hagedorn
President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board

August 9, 2004
/s/ Christopher L. Nagel
Christopher L. Nagel
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

August 9, 2004

THIS CERTIFICATION IS BEING FURNISHED AS REQUIRED BY RULE 13a-14(b) UNDER THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (THE "EXCHANGE ACT") AND SECTION 1350 OF CHAPTER 63 OF TITLE 18 OF THE UNITED STATES CODE, AND SHALL NOT BE DEEMED "FILED" FOR PURPOSES OF SECTION 18 OF THE EXCHANGE ACT OR OTHERWISE SUBJECT TO THE LIABILITY OF THAT SECTION. THIS CERTIFICATION SHALL NOT BE DEEMED TO BE INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE INTO ANY FILING UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933 OR THE EXCHANGE ACT, EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE STATED IN SUCH FILING.

